

## SEPARATING LINEAR MAPS OF CONTINUOUS FIELDS OF BANACH SPACES\*

Chi-Wai Leung

*Department of Mathematics*  
*The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong*  
*cwleung@math.cuhk.edu.hk*

Chung-Wen Tsai<sup>†</sup> and Ngai-Ching Wong<sup>‡</sup>

*Department of Applied Mathematics*  
*National Sun Yat-sen University*  
*Kaohsiung, 80424, Taiwan*  
*<sup>†</sup>tsaicw@math.nsysu.edu.tw, <sup>‡</sup>wong@math.nsysu.edu.tw*

In this paper, we give a complete description of the structure of separating linear maps between continuous fields of Banach spaces. Some automatic continuity results are obtained.

*Keywords:* Separating linear maps; automatic continuity; continuous fields of Banach spaces; Banach bundles.

AMS Subject Classification: 46L40, 46H40, 46E40

### 1. Introduction

Let  $T$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space, called *base space*. Suppose for each  $t$  in  $T$  there is a (real or complex) Banach space  $E_t$ . A *vector field*  $x$  is an element in the product space  $\prod_{t \in T} E_t$ , that is,  $x(t) \in E_t$ , for all  $t \in T$ .

**Definition 1.1** ([5, 3]). A *continuous field*  $\mathcal{E} = (T, \{E_t\}, \mathcal{A})$  of Banach spaces over a locally compact space  $T$  is a family  $\{E_t\}_{t \in T}$  of Banach spaces, with a set  $\mathcal{A}$  of vector fields, satisfying the following conditions.

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}$  is a vector subspace of  $\prod_{t \in T} E_t$ .
- (ii) For every  $t$  in  $T$ , the set of all  $x(t)$  with  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is dense in  $E_t$ .
- (iii) For every  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , the function  $t \mapsto \|x(t)\|$  is continuous on  $T$  and vanishes at infinity.

\*This work is jointly supported by Hong Kong RGC Research Grant (2160255), and Taiwan NSC grant (NSC96-2115-M-110-004-MY3).

- (iv) Let  $x$  be a vector field. Suppose for every  $t$  in  $T$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $t$  and a  $y$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\|x(s) - y(s)\| < \epsilon$  for all  $s$  in  $U$ . Then  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Elements in  $\mathcal{A}$  are called *continuous vector fields*.

When all  $E_t$  equal to a fixed Banach space  $E$ , and  $\mathcal{A}$  consists of all continuous functions from  $T$  into  $E$  vanishing at infinity, we call  $\mathcal{E}$  a *constant field*. In this case, we write  $\mathcal{A} = C_0(T, E)$ , or  $\mathcal{A} = C(T, E)$  when  $T$  is compact, as usual.

It is not difficult to see that  $\mathcal{A}$  becomes a Banach space under the norm  $\|x\| = \sup_{t \in T} \|x(t)\|$ . If  $g$  is a bounded continuous scalar-valued function on  $T$ , and  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $t \mapsto g(t)x(t)$  defines a continuous vector field  $gx$  on  $T$ . The set of all  $x(t)$  with  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  coincides with  $E_t$  for every  $t$  in  $T$ . Moreover, for any distinct points  $s, t$  in  $T$  and any  $\alpha$  in  $E_s$  and  $\beta$  in  $E_t$ , there is a continuous vector field  $x$  such that  $x(s) = \alpha$  and  $x(t) = \beta$  (see, e.g., [5, 12]).

A map  $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is called a *homomorphism between two continuous fields of Banach spaces*  $(X, \{E_x\}_x, \mathcal{A})$  and  $(Y, \{F_y\}_y, \mathcal{B})$  if there is a map  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  and a linear map  $H_y : E_{\varphi(y)} \rightarrow F_y$  for each  $y$  in  $Y$  such that

$$\theta(f)(y) = H_y(f(\varphi(y))), \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ for all } y \in Y. \tag{1.1}$$

A map  $\theta$  is said to be *separating* (or *strictly separating* as in [1]) if

$$\|f(x)\| \|g(x)\| = 0, \text{ for all } x \in X, \quad \text{implies} \quad \|\theta(f)(y)\| \|\theta(g)(y)\| = 0, \text{ for all } y \in Y.$$

The study of when a separating linear map is a homomorphism has been the focus of much research in the past. For example, in [10], Jarosz gives a complete description of an unbounded separating linear map  $\theta : C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ , where  $X, Y$  are compact Hausdorff spaces, and this is extended to locally compact spaces in [7, 11]. On the other hand, Jamison and Rajagopalan [9] show that every *bounded* separating linear map  $\theta : C(X, E) \rightarrow C(Y, F)$  between continuous vector valued function spaces carries a standard form (1.1). Chan [2] extends this to *bounded* separating linear maps between two function modules.

In this paper, we present a complete description of separating linear maps  $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  between continuous fields of Banach spaces  $(X, \{E_x\}_x, \mathcal{A})$  and  $(Y, \{F_y\}_y, \mathcal{B})$  on locally compact Hausdorff base spaces. Essentially, these maps carry the standard form (1.1). In case  $\theta$  is bijective, and both  $\theta$  and  $\theta^{-1}$  are separating, we shall see that  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  is a homeomorphism. Moreover,  $\theta$ , as well as the fiber linear maps  $H_y$ , is automatically bounded in many situations. Our results unify and extend those shown in [9, 10, 2, 7, 11, 1, 8].

Another example of continuous fields of Banach spaces comes from *Banach bundles*. (The readers are referred to [4, 6] for the definitions.) For a Banach bundle  $\xi = (p, E, T)$ , define  $\Gamma_0(\xi)$  to be the set of all continuous cross sections of  $\xi$  which vanishes at infinity. In this case, we write  $\mathcal{E} = (T, \{E_t\}_t, \Gamma_0(\xi))$ . It is not difficult to see that  $\Gamma_0(\xi)$  satisfies the conditions (i), (iii), (iv) in Definition 1.1. We refer to Appendix C in [6] where it is shown that if  $T$  is locally compact, then for any point

$x$  in  $E$  there is a continuous cross section  $f$  such that  $f(p(x)) = x$ . Thus, condition (ii) follows. Therefore, all results in this paper apply to Banach bundles. For further development in this line, readers are referred to [13].

**2. The results**

For a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , we write

$$X_\infty = X \cup \{\infty\},$$

for its one-point compactification. If  $X$  is already compact, then the point  $\infty$  at infinity is an isolated point in  $X_\infty$ . Moreover, we identify

$$C_0(X) = \{f \in C(X_\infty) : f(\infty) = 0\},$$

and other similar spaces for those of continuous functions on  $X$  vanishing at infinity. For a continuous field  $(X, \{E_x\}_x, \mathcal{A})$  of Banach spaces, set for each  $x$  in  $X$  the sets

$$I_x = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : f \text{ vanishes in a neighborhood in } X_\infty \text{ of } x\},$$

$$M_x = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : f(x) = 0\}.$$

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a separating linear map between continuous fields of Banach spaces  $(X, \{E_x\}_x, \mathcal{A})$ ,  $(Y, \{F_y\}_y, \mathcal{B})$  over locally compact Hausdorff spaces  $X, Y$ , respectively. Set*

$$Y_0 = \bigcap \{\ker \theta(f) : f \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

*Then,  $\infty \in Y_0$  is compact and there is a continuous map  $\varphi : Y \setminus Y_0 \rightarrow X_\infty$  such that*

$$\theta(I_{\varphi(y)}) \subseteq I_y, \quad \text{for all } y \in Y \setminus Y_0.$$

*Set*

$$Y_1 = \{y \in Y \setminus Y_0 : \theta(M_{\varphi(y)}) \subseteq M_y\},$$

$$Y_2 = \{y \in Y \setminus Y_0 : \theta(M_{\varphi(y)}) \not\subseteq M_y\}.$$

*Then there is a linear map  $H_y : E_{\varphi(y)} \rightarrow F_y$  for each  $y$  in  $Y_1$  such that*

$$\theta(f)(y) = H_y(f(\varphi(y))), \quad \text{for all } y \in Y_1.$$

*The exceptional set  $Y_2$  is open in  $Y_\infty$ , and  $\varphi(Y_2)$  consists of finitely many non-isolated points in  $X_\infty$ .*

*Moreover,  $\theta$  is bounded if and only if  $Y_2 = \emptyset$  and all  $H_y$  are bounded. In this case,*

$$\|\theta\| = \sup_{y \in Y} \|H_y\|.$$

We divide the proof into several lemmas as in [10, 11]. Clearly,  $Y_0$  is compact and contains  $\infty$ . For each  $y$  in  $Y \setminus Y_0$ , let

$$Z_y = \{x \in X_\infty : \theta(I_x) \subseteq I_y\}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.**  $Z_y$  is a singleton, for all  $y$  in  $Y \setminus Y_0$ .

**Proof.** Suppose on the contrary that  $Z_y = \emptyset$  for some  $y$  in  $Y \setminus Y_0$ . Then for each  $x$  in  $X_\infty$  there is an  $f_x$  in  $I_x$  vanishing in a compact neighborhood  $U_x$  of  $x$  such that  $\theta(f_x) \notin I_y$ . By compactness,

$$X_\infty = U_{x_0} \cup U_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{x_n}$$

for some points  $x_0 = \infty, x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $X_\infty$ . Let

$$1 = h_0 + h_1 + \dots + h_n$$

be a continuous partition of unity such that  $h_i$  vanishes outside  $U_{x_i}$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . For any  $g$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , the separating property of  $\theta$  implies that the product of the norm functions of  $\theta(h_i g)$  and  $\theta(f_{x_i})$  is always zero, and then

$$\theta(f_{x_i}) \notin I_y \quad \text{implies} \quad \theta(h_i g)(y) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence,  $\theta(g)(y) = 0$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{A}$ . This gives a contradiction that  $y \in Y_0$ .

Next let  $x_1, x_2$  be distinct points in  $Z_y$ . In other words,  $\theta(I_{x_i}) \subseteq I_y$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Choose compact neighborhoods  $V, U$  of  $x_1$  in  $X_\infty$  such that  $V$  is contained in the interior of  $U$ , and  $x_2 \notin U$ . Let  $g \in C(X_\infty)$  such that  $g = 1$  on  $V$  and  $g = 0$  outside  $U$ . Then for all  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , the facts  $(1 - g)f \in I_{x_1}$  and  $gf \in I_{x_2}$  ensure that  $\theta(f) \in I_y$ . In particular,  $y \in Y_0$ , a contradiction again.  $\square$

Define a map  $\varphi : Y \setminus Y_0 \rightarrow X_\infty$  by

$$Z_y = \{\varphi(y)\}.$$

In other words,  $\theta(I_{\varphi(y)}) \subseteq I_y$ , or

$$f \in I_{\varphi(y)} \quad \text{implies} \quad \theta(f) \in I_y, \quad \text{for all } y \in Y \setminus Y_0. \tag{2.1}$$

**Lemma 2.2.**  $\varphi : Y \setminus Y_0 \rightarrow X_\infty$  is continuous.

**Proof.** Suppose  $y_\lambda \rightarrow y$  in  $Y \setminus Y_0$ , but  $x_\lambda = \varphi(y_\lambda) \rightarrow x \neq \varphi(y)$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $\theta(I_x) \not\subseteq I_y$ . Let  $U_x, U_{\varphi(y)}$  be disjoint compact neighborhoods of  $x, \varphi(y)$ , respectively. Let  $g \in C(X_\infty)$  such that  $g = 1$  on  $U_x$  and  $g = 0$  on  $U_{\varphi(y)}$ . Since  $x_\lambda \rightarrow x$ , for all  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $(1 - g)f$  is eventually in  $I_{x_\lambda}$ . Thus,  $\theta((1 - g)f) \in I_{y_\lambda}$  eventually. By the continuity of the norm function,  $\theta((1 - g)f)(y) = 0$ . On the other hand,  $gf \in I_{\varphi(y)}$  implies  $\theta(gf) \in I_y$ . Hence,  $\theta(f)(y) = 0$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . This gives  $y \in Y_0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

Denote by  $\delta_y$  the evaluation map at  $y$  in  $Y$ , i.e.,

$$\delta_y(g) = g(y) \in F_y, \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathcal{B}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\{y_n\}$  be a sequence in  $Y \setminus Y_0$  such that  $\varphi(y_n)$  are distinct points in  $X_\infty$ . Then

$$\limsup \|\delta_{y_n} \circ \theta\| < +\infty.$$

**Proof.** Suppose not, by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume the norm  $\|\delta_{y_n} \circ \theta\| > n^4$ , and there is an  $f_n$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\|f_n\| \leq 1$  and  $\|\theta(f_n)(y_n)\| > n^3$ , for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $x_n = \varphi(y_n)$  and  $V_n, U_n$  be compact neighborhoods of  $x_n$  in  $X_\infty$  such that  $V_n$  is contained in the interior of  $U_n$ , and  $U_n \cap U_m = \emptyset$ , for distinct  $n, m = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $g_n \in C(X_\infty)$  such that  $g_n = 1$  on  $V_n$  and  $g_n = 0$  outside  $U_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Observe

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(f_n)(y_n) &= \theta(g_n f_n)(y_n) + \theta((1 - g_n)f_n)(y_n) \\ &= \theta(g_n f_n)(y_n), \quad \text{as } (1 - g_n)f_n \in I_{x_n}. \end{aligned}$$

So we can assume  $f_n$  is supported in  $U_n$ , for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} f_n \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Since  $n^2 f - f_n \in I_{x_n}$ , we have  $n^2 \theta(f)(y_n) = \theta(f_n)(y_n)$  by (2.1), and thus  $\|\theta(f)(y_n)\| > n$ , for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . As  $\theta(f)$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  has a bounded norm, we arrive at a contradiction. □

Set

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 &= \{y \in Y \setminus Y_0 : \theta(M_{\varphi(y)}) \subseteq M_y\}, \\ Y_2 &= \{y \in Y \setminus Y_0 : \theta(M_{\varphi(y)}) \not\subseteq M_y\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.4.**  $\varphi(Y_2)$  is a finite set consisting of non-isolated points in  $X_\infty$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x = \varphi(y)$  with  $y$  in  $Y_2$ . Then by (2.1) we have

$$\theta(I_x) \subseteq I_y \quad \text{but} \quad \theta(M_x) \not\subseteq M_y.$$

Since, by Uryshons Lemma,  $I_x$  is dense in  $M_x$ , this implies the linear operator  $\delta_y \circ \theta$  is unbounded. By Lemma 2.3, we can only have finitely many of such  $x$ 's. So  $\varphi(Y_2)$  is a finite set. Moreover, if  $x$  is an isolated point in  $X_\infty$  then  $I_x = M_x$ , and thus  $x \notin \varphi(Y_2)$ . □

**Proof.** [Proof of Theorem 2.1] Let  $y \in Y_1$ , we have  $\theta(M_{\varphi(y)}) \subseteq M_y$ . Hence, there is a linear operator  $H_y : E_{\varphi(y)} \rightarrow F_y$  such that

$$\theta(f)(y) = H_y(f(\varphi(y))), \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{2.2}$$

Next we want to see that  $Y_2$  is open, or equivalently,  $Y_0 \cup Y_1$  is closed in  $Y_\infty$ . Let  $y_\lambda \rightarrow y$  with  $y_\lambda$  in  $Y_0 \cup Y_1$ . We want to show that  $y \in Y_0 \cup Y_1$ . Since  $Y_0$  is compact, we might assume  $y_\lambda \in Y_1$  for all  $\lambda$ .

In case there is any subnet of  $\{\varphi(y_\lambda)\}$  consisting of only finitely many points, we can assume  $\varphi(y_\lambda) = \varphi(y)$  for all  $\lambda$ . Then for all  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $f(\varphi(y)) = 0$  implies

$f(\varphi(y_\lambda)) = 0$ , and thus  $\theta(f)(y_\lambda) = 0$  for all  $\lambda$  by (2.2). By continuity,  $\theta(f)(y) = 0$ . Consequently,  $\theta(M_{\varphi(y)}) \subseteq M_y$ , and thus  $y \in Y_0 \cup Y_1$ .

In the other case, every subnet of  $\{\varphi(y_\lambda)\}$  contains infinitely many points. Lemma 2.3 asserts that  $M = \limsup \|H_{y_\lambda}\| < +\infty$ . This gives

$$\|\theta(f)(y)\| = \lim \|\theta(f)(y_\lambda)\| = \lim \|H_{y_\lambda}(f(\varphi(y_\lambda)))\| \leq M \|f(\varphi(y))\|.$$

Thus, if  $f(\varphi(y)) = 0$  we have  $\theta(f)(y) = 0$ . Consequently,  $y \in Y_0 \cup Y_1$ .

Observe that the boundedness of  $\theta$  implies  $Y_2 = \emptyset$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta\| &= \sup\{\|\theta(f)\| : f \in \mathcal{A} \text{ with } \|f\| = 1\} \\ &= \sup\{\|H_y(f(\varphi(y)))\| : f \in \mathcal{A} \text{ with } \|f\| = 1, y \in Y_1\} \\ &\leq \sup\{\|H_y\| : y \in Y_1\}. \end{aligned}$$

The reverse inequality is plain.

Finally, we suppose  $Y_2 = \emptyset$  and all  $H_y$  are bounded. We claim that  $\sup \|H_y\| < +\infty$ . Otherwise, there is a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $Y_1$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|H_{y_n}\| = +\infty$ . By Lemma 2.3, we can assume all  $\varphi(y_n) = x$  in  $X$ . Let  $e \in E_x$  and  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $f(x) = e$ . Then

$$\|H_{y_n}(e)\| = \|\theta(f)(y_n)\| \leq \|\theta(f)\|, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

It follows from the uniform boundedness principle that  $\sup \|H_{y_n}\| < +\infty$ , a contradiction. It is now obvious that  $\theta$  is bounded. □

The following extends the results for constant fields shown in [1, 8].

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $(X, \{E_x\}, \mathcal{A})$ ,  $(Y, \{F_y\}, \mathcal{B})$  be continuous fields of Banach spaces over locally compact Hausdorff spaces  $X, Y$ , respectively. Let  $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a bijective linear map such that both  $\theta$  and its inverse  $\theta^{-1}$  are separating. Then there is a homeomorphism  $\varphi$  from  $Y$  onto  $X$ , and a bijective linear operator  $H_y : E_{\varphi(y)} \rightarrow F_y$  for each  $y$  in  $Y$  such that*

$$\theta(f)(y) = H_y(f(\varphi(y))), \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ for all } y \in Y.$$

*Moreover, at most finitely many  $H_y$  are unbounded, and this can happen only when  $y$  is an isolated point in  $Y$ . In particular, if  $X$  (or  $Y$ ) contains no isolated point then  $\theta$  is automatically bounded.*

**Proof.** Since  $\theta$  is onto, we have  $Y_0 = \{\infty\}$ . Because both  $\theta, \theta^{-1}$  are separating, there are continuous maps  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X_\infty$  and  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y_\infty$  such that

$$\theta(I_{\varphi(y)}) \subseteq I_y \quad \text{and} \quad \theta^{-1}(I_{\psi(x)}) \subseteq I_x, \quad \text{for all } x \in X, y \in Y.$$

In case  $\psi(x) \neq \infty$ , this gives

$$\theta(I_{\varphi(\psi(x))}) \subseteq I_{\psi(x)} \subseteq \theta(I_x),$$

or

$$I_{\varphi(\psi(x))} \subseteq I_x.$$

It follows  $\varphi(\psi(x)) = x$  for all  $x$  in  $X$  with  $\psi(x) \neq \infty$ . Similarly, we will have  $\psi(\varphi(y)) = y$  for all  $y$  in  $Y$  with  $\varphi(y) \neq \infty$ . Set  $X_3 = X \setminus \psi^{-1}(\infty)$  and  $Y_3 = Y \setminus \varphi^{-1}(\infty)$ . It is then easy to see that  $\varphi = \psi^{-1}$  induces a homeomorphism from  $Y_3$  onto  $X_3$ . By the bijectivity of  $\theta$ , the open sets  $X_3$  and  $Y_3$  contain  $X_1$  and  $Y_1$ , respectively.

Next, we want to see that  $Y_2 = \emptyset$  and  $Y_1 = Y_3 = Y$ . Indeed, by Theorem 2.1,  $Y_2 \cap Y_3$  is open, and a finite set (as  $\varphi(Y_2)$  is). Hence  $Y_2 \cap Y_3$  consists of isolated points in  $Y$ , and so does  $\varphi(Y_2 \cap Y_3)$ . It then follows from Lemma 2.4 that  $Y_2 \cap Y_3$  is empty. Consequently,  $Y_1 = Y_3$  and  $\varphi(Y_2) \subseteq \{\infty\}$ . Similarly,  $X_1 = X_3$  and  $\psi(X_2) \subseteq \{\infty\}$ . It follows from (2.1) and the injectivity of  $\theta$  that  $\varphi(Y)$ , and thus  $\varphi(Y_1) = X_1$ , is dense in  $X$ . As  $X_1$  is closed in  $X$ , we see that  $X = X_1$  and thus  $X_2 = \emptyset$ . Correspondingly,  $Y = Y_1$  and  $Y_2 = \emptyset$ . It turns out that  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism from  $Y$  onto  $X$  with inverse  $\psi$ .

Now  $Y = Y_1$  and  $X = X_1$  implies that both  $\theta$  and  $\theta^{-1}$  can be written as homomorphisms of continuous fields of Banach spaces:

$$\theta(f)(y) = H_y(f(\varphi(y))), \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ for all } y \in Y,$$

$$\theta^{-1}(g)(x) = T_x(g(\psi(x))), \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathcal{B}, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

It is easy to see that the linear map  $H_y : E_{\varphi(y)} \mapsto F_y$  has an inverse  $T_{\varphi(y)}$  for every  $y$  in  $Y$ , and thus it is bijective.

By Lemma 2.3, at most finitely many  $H_y$  are unbounded. Let  $y$  be a non-isolated point in  $Y$ . We will show that the linear map  $H_y$  is bounded. Suppose not, then for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  there is an  $f_n$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  of norm one such that  $\|\theta(f_n)(y)\| = \|H_y(f_n(\varphi(y)))\| > n^4$ . By the continuity of the norm of  $\theta(f_n)$ , there are all distinct points  $y_n$  of  $Y$  in a neighborhood of  $y$  such that  $\|\theta(f_n)(y_n)\| > n^3$ . Let  $x_n = \varphi(y_n)$  in  $X$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism, we can also assume that all  $x_n$  are distinct with disjoint compact neighbourhoods  $U_n$ . By multiplying with a norm one continuous scalar function, we can assume each  $f_n$  is supported in  $U_n$ . Let  $f = \sum_n \frac{1}{n^2} f_n$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $n^2 f - f_n \in I_{x_n}$ , we have  $n^2 \theta(f)(y_n) = \theta(f_n)(y_n)$  and thus  $\|\theta(f)(y_n)\| > n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . This contradiction tells us that  $H_y$  is bounded for all non-isolated  $y$  in  $Y_1$ .

The last assertion follows from Theorem 2.1, and we have  $\|\theta\| = \sup \|H_y\| < +\infty$ . □

**Remark 2.1.**

- (1) Unlike the scalar case, if any fiber  $E_x$  of the continuous field of Banach spaces  $(X, \{E_x\}, \mathcal{A})$  is of infinite dimension, some  $H_y$  can be unbounded in Theorem 2.2. This happens even for the constant fields based on compact spaces. See Example 2.4 in [8].
- (2) There is a counterexample in ([8], Example 3.1) of a continuous bijective separating linear map between constant fields based on nonhomeomorphic compact

spaces, whose inverse is not separating. So the biseparating assumption in Theorem 2.2 cannot be dropped.

## Acknowledgment

We would like to express our gratitude to the referee for many helpful comments in improving the presentation of this paper.

## References

1. J. Araujo and K. Jarosz, Automatic continuity of biseparating maps, *Studia Math.*, **155** (2003) 231–239.
2. J. T. Chan, Operators with the disjoint support property, *J. Operator Theory*, **24** (1990) 383–391.
3. J. Dixmier, *C\*-algebras*, North-Holland publishing company, Amsterdam–New York–Oxford, 1977.
4. M. J. Dupré and R. M. Gillette, *Banach bundles, Banach modules and automorphisms of C\*-algebras*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series 92, 1983.
5. J. M. G. Fell, The structure of algebras of operator fields, *Acta Math.*, **106** (1961) 233–280.
6. J. M. G. Fell and R. S. Doran, *Representations of \*-Algebras, Locally Compact Groups, and Banach \*-Algebraic Bundles, Volume 1*, Academic, New York (1988).
7. J. J. Font and S. Hernández, On separating maps between locally compact spaces, *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, **63** (1994) 158–165.
8. H.-L. Gau, J.-S. Jeang and N.-C. Wong, Biseparating linear maps between continuous vector-valued function spaces, *J. Australian Math. Soc., Series A*, **74** no. 1 (2003) 101–111.
9. J. E. Jamison and M. Rajagopalan, Weighted composition operator on  $C(X, E)$ , *J. Operator Theory*, **19** (1988) 307–317.
10. K. Jarosz, Automatic continuity of separating linear isomorphisms, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **33** (1990) 139–144.
11. J.-S. Jeang and N.-C. Wong, Weighted composition operators of  $C_0(X)$ 's, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **201** (1996) 981–993.
12. R.-Y. Lee, On the  $C^*$ -algebras of operator fields, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **25** no. 4 (1978) 303–314.
13. C.-W. Leung, C.-K. Ng and N.-C. Wong, Automatic Continuity and  $C_0(\Omega)$ -Linearity of Linear Maps Between  $C_0(\Omega)$ -Modules, preprint.