

# AN ALGEBRAIC APPROACH TO THE BANACH-STONE THEOREM FOR SEPARATING LINEAR BIJECTIONS

HWA-LONG GAU, JYH-SHYANG JEANG AND NGAI-CHING WONG

ABSTRACT. Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $C(X)$  the space of continuous functions defined on  $X$ . There are three versions of the Banach-Stone theorem. They assert that the Banach space geometry, the ring structure, and the lattice structure of  $C(X)$  determine the topological structure of  $X$ , respectively. In particular, the lattice version states that every disjointness preserving linear bijection  $T$  from  $C(X)$  onto  $C(Y)$  is a weighted composition operator  $Tf = h \cdot f \circ \varphi$  which provides a homeomorphism  $\varphi$  from  $Y$  onto  $X$ . In this note, we manage to use basically algebraic arguments to give this lattice version a short new proof. In this way, all three versions of the Banach-Stone theorem are unified in an algebraic framework such that different isomorphisms preserve different ideal structures of  $C(X)$ .

Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $C(X)$  the vector space of continuous (real or complex) functions on  $X$ . It is a common interest to see how the topological structure of  $X$  can be recovered from  $C(X)$ . If we look at  $C(X)$  as a Banach space then the classical Banach-Stone theorem states that whenever there is a surjective linear isometry  $T$  between  $C(X)$  and  $C(Y)$  for some other compact Hausdorff space  $Y$ ,  $T$  induces a homeomorphism between  $X$  and  $Y$  (see e.g. [3, p.172]). Here is a sketch of the proof. The dual map  $T^*$  of  $T$  preserves extreme points of the dual balls, which are exactly those linear functionals in the form of  $\lambda\delta_x$  for some unimodular scalar  $\lambda$  and point mass  $\delta_x$  at some point  $x \in X$ . Thus  $T^*\delta_y = h(y)\delta_{\varphi(y)}$  defines a scalar-valued function  $h$  on  $Y$  and a map  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ . In other words,

$$(1) \quad Tf(y) = h(y)f(\varphi(y)), \quad \forall y \in Y, \forall f \in C(X).$$

It is then a routine work to verify that  $h$  is continuous and  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism. Operators in the form of (1) are called *weighted composition operators*.

We are interested in the algebraic character of the Banach-Stone Theorem. The above argument merely shows that a surjective isometry  $T$  between the rings  $C(X)$  and  $C(Y)$  of continuous functions preserves maximal ideals. In fact, all maximal ideals of  $C(X)$  are in the form of  $M_x = \{f \in C(X) : f(x) = 0\}$ . Thus,  $TM_x = M_y$  where  $x = \varphi(y)$ . This is, of course, a well-known idea. In another situation, when  $T$  is a ring isomorphism from  $C(X)$  onto  $C(Y)$ ,  $T$  also induces a homeomorphism  $\varphi$

---

*Date:* October 21, 2000, fix 1; November 27, 2000, fix 2 after submitted to Taiwanese J. Math. 1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46J10,47B30,47B53.

*Key words and phrases.* Banach-Stone theorem, separating maps, disjointness preserving maps. Partially supported by Taiwan National Science Council under grant: NSC 90-2115-M-110-002.

from  $Y$  onto  $X$  (see e.g. [5, p.57]). In this case,  $T$  preserves *all* ideals of the rings and  $Tf = f \circ \varphi, \forall f \in C(X)$ .

A (not necessarily continuous) linear bijection  $T : C(X) \longrightarrow C(Y)$  is said to be *separating*, or *disjointness preserving*, if  $TfTg = 0$  whenever  $fg = 0$ . If  $T$  is onto, then the inverse of  $T$  also preserves disjointness (see e.g. [1, Theorem 1] and also [2]). In this case,  $T$  induces a homeomorphism between  $X$  and  $Y$  (see e.g. [6, 4, 7]). Readers are referred to [2] for more information of disjointness preserving operators.

For each  $x$  in  $X$ , let

$$I_x = \{f \in C(X) : f \text{ vanishes in a neighborhood of } x\}.$$

Note that the ideal  $I_x$  is neither closed, prime nor maximal. But it is contained in a unique maximal ideal  $M_x$ . Moreover, it is somehow ‘prime’ in the sense that  $f \in I_x$  whenever  $fg = 0$  and  $g(x) \neq 0$ . In fact,  $|g(y)| > 0$  for all  $y$  in a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  and thus forces  $f$  vanishes in  $V$ . On the other hand, if  $I$  is any proper prime ideal of  $C(X)$  then  $I$  must contains a unique  $I_x$ . In fact,  $x$  is the unique common point in the kernels of all functions in  $I$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}_x$  be the family of all prime ideals which contains  $I_x$ . Then,  $M_x$  is the union and  $I_x$  is the intersection of all prime ideals in  $\mathfrak{P}_x$ . Note also that  $\bigcup_{x \in X} \mathfrak{P}_x$  consists of all proper prime ideals of  $C(X)$ .

We do not give new results in this note. Instead, we demonstrate with *new proofs* that the above three Banach-Stone Theorems can be unified in an algebraic setting. In fact,  $T$  inherits algebraic properties from  $C(X)$  to  $C(Y)$  of different strength in different cases. When  $T$  is a ring isomorphism, it preserves all ideals. When  $T$  is an isometry, it preserves maximal ideals; namely,  $TM_x = M_y$ . When  $T$  is separating, we will see that it preserves all those ideals  $I_x$ ; namely,  $TI_x = I_y$ . As consequences of these ideal preserving properties,  $T$  can be written as a weighted composition operator  $Tf = h \cdot f \circ \varphi$  in all three cases. Here,  $\varphi : Y \longrightarrow X$  is always a homeomorphism, but the property of the continuous weight function  $h$  differs. It is the constant function  $h(y) \equiv 1$  if  $T$  is a ring isomorphism. It is unimodular, i.e.,  $|h(y)| \equiv 1$ , if  $T$  is an isometry. And  $h$  is just non-vanishing when  $T$  is separating. In this sense, these three Banach-Stone type theorems are unified.

We would like to thank K. I. Beidar for helpful discussions. Some of the new algebraic idea utilized in the following proofs originate in a series of algebra seminars co-organized by him.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $T : C(X) \longrightarrow C(Y)$  be a separating linear bijection. Then for each  $x$  in  $X$  there is a unique  $y$  in  $Y$  such that*

$$TI_x = I_y.$$

*Moreover, this defines a bijection  $\varphi$  from  $Y$  onto  $X$  by  $\varphi(y) = x$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $x$  in  $X$ , denote by  $\ker T(I_x)$  the set  $\bigcap_{f \in I_x} (Tf)^{-1}(0)$ . We first claim that  $\ker T(I_x)$  is non-empty. Suppose on contrary that for each  $y$  in  $Y$ , there were an  $f_y$  in  $I_x$  with  $Tf_y(y) \neq 0$ . Thus, an open neighborhood  $U_y$  of  $y$  exists such that  $Tf_y$  is nonvanishing in  $U_y$ . Since  $Y = \bigcup_{y \in Y} U_y$  and  $Y$  is compact,  $Y = U_{y_1} \cup U_{y_2} \cup \dots \cup U_{y_n}$

for some  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  in  $Y$ . Let  $V$  be an open neighborhood of  $x$  such that  $f_{y_i}|_V = 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $g \in C(X)$  such that  $g(x) = 1$  and  $g$  vanishes outside  $V$ . Then  $f_{y_i}g = 0$ , and thus  $Tf_{y_i}Tg = 0$  since  $T$  preserves disjointness. This forces  $Tg|_{U_i} = 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Therefore,  $Tg = 0$  and hence  $g = 0$  by the injectivity of  $T$ , a contradiction! We thus prove that  $\ker T(I_x) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $y \in \ker T(I_x)$ . For each  $f \in I_x$ , we want to show that  $Tf \in I_y$ . If there exists a  $g \in C(X)$  such that  $Tg(y) \neq 0$  and  $fg = 0$ , then we are done by the disjointness preserving property of  $T$ . Suppose there were no such  $g$ ; that is, for any  $g \in C(X)$  vanishing outside  $V = f^{-1}(0)$ , we have  $Tg(y) = 0$ . Let  $W \subset V$  be a compact neighborhood of  $x$  and  $k \in C(X)$  such that  $k|_W = 1$  and  $k$  vanishes outside  $V$ . Then for any  $g \in C(X)$ ,  $g = kg + (1 - k)g$ . Since  $(1 - k)|_W = 0$ ,  $(1 - k)g \in I_x$ . This implies  $T((1 - k)g)(y) = 0$  as  $y \in \ker T(I_x)$ . On the other hand,  $kg$  vanishes outside  $V$ . Hence  $T(kg)(y) = 0$  by the above assumption. It follows that  $Tg(y) = Tkg(y) + T(1 - k)g(y) = 0$  for all  $g \in C(X)$ . This conflicts with the surjectivity of  $T$ . Therefore,  $TI_x \subseteq I_y$ . Similarly,  $T^{-1}(I_y) \subseteq I_{x'}$  for some  $x'$  in  $X$  since  $T^{-1}$  is also separating. It follows that  $I_x \subseteq T^{-1}(I_y) \subseteq I_{x'}$ . Consequently,  $x = x'$  and  $T(I_x) = I_y$ . The bijectivity of  $\varphi$  is also clear now.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Two compact Hausdorff spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  are homeomorphic whenever there is a separating linear bijection  $T$  from  $C(X)$  onto  $C(Y)$ .*

*Proof.* We show that the bijection  $\varphi$  given in Lemma 1 is a homeomorphism. It suffices to verify the continuity of  $\varphi$  since  $Y$  is compact and  $X$  is Hausdorff. Suppose on contrary that there exists a net  $\{y_\lambda\}$  in  $Y$  converging to  $y$  but  $\varphi(y_\lambda) \rightarrow x \neq \varphi(y)$ . Let  $U_x$  and  $U_{\varphi(y)}$  be disjoint open neighborhoods of  $x$  and  $\varphi(y)$ , respectively. Now for any  $f \in C(X)$  vanishing outside  $U_{\varphi(y)}$ , we shall show that  $Tf(y) = 0$ . In fact,  $\varphi(y_\lambda)$  belongs to  $U_x$  for large  $\lambda$ . Since  $f|_{U_x} = 0$  and  $U_x$  is also a neighborhood of  $\varphi(y_\lambda)$ , we have  $f \in I_{\varphi(y_\lambda)}$ . By Lemma 1,  $Tf \in I_{y_\lambda}$  and in particular  $Tf(y_\lambda) = 0$  for large  $\lambda$ . This implies  $Tf(y) = 0$  by the continuity of  $Tf$ . Let  $k \in C(X)$  such that  $k|_V = 1$  and  $k$  vanishes outside  $U_{\varphi(y)}$ , where  $V \subset U_{\varphi(y)}$  is a compact neighborhood of  $\varphi(y)$ . Then  $g = kg + (1 - k)g$  for every  $g \in C(X)$ . Since  $kg$  vanishes outside  $U_{\varphi(y)}$ , we have  $T(kg)(y) = 0$ . On the other hand, we have  $(1 - k)g \in I_{\varphi(y)}$  since  $(1 - k)|_V = 0$ . By Lemma 1,  $T((1 - k)g) \in I_y$  and thus  $T((1 - k)g)(y) = 0$ . It follows that  $Tg(y) = T(kg)(y) + T((1 - k)g)(y) = 0$ . This is a contradiction since  $T$  is onto. Hence  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compact Hausdorff spaces. Then every separating linear bijection  $T : C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$  is a weighted composition operator*

$$Tf(y) = h(y)f(\varphi(y)), \quad \forall f \in C(X), \forall y \in Y.$$

*Here  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism from  $Y$  onto  $X$  and  $h$  is a nonvanishing continuous scalar function on  $Y$ . In particular,  $T$  is automatically continuous.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2, we have a homeomorphism  $\varphi$  from  $Y$  onto  $X$  such that  $T(I_x) = I_y$  where  $\varphi(y) = x$ . We claim that  $TM_x \subseteq M_y$ . If this is true then  $\ker \delta_x \subseteq \ker \delta_y \circ T$ . Consequently, there is a scalar  $h(y)$  such that  $\delta_y \circ T = h(y)\delta_x$ . Equivalently,  $Tf(y) =$

$h(y)f(\varphi(y))$  for all  $f$  in  $C(X)$  and  $y$  in  $Y$ . Since  $h = T1$  and  $T$  is onto,  $h$  is continuous and non-vanishing.

To verify the claim, suppose on contrary  $f \in M_x$  but  $Tf(y) \neq 0$ . If  $x$  belongs to the interior of  $f^{-1}(0)$ , then  $f \in I_x$  and thus  $Tf(y) = 0$ . Therefore, we may assume there is a net  $\{x_\lambda\}$  in  $X$  converging to  $x$  and  $f(x_\lambda)$  is never zero. Let  $y_\lambda$  in  $Y$  such that  $\varphi(y_\lambda) = x_\lambda$ . Clearly,  $y_\lambda$  converges to  $y$  and we may assume there is a constant  $\epsilon$  such that  $|Tf(y_\lambda)| \geq \epsilon > 0$  for all  $\lambda$ . For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , set

$$V_n = \{z \in X : \frac{1}{2n+1} \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2n}\}$$

and

$$W_n = \{z \in X : \frac{1}{2n} \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2n-1}\}.$$

Then at least one of the unions  $V = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$  and  $W = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n$  contains a subnet of  $\{x_\lambda\}$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that all  $x_\lambda$  belong to  $V$ . Let  $V'_n$  be an open set containing  $V_n$  such that  $V'_n \cap V'_m = \emptyset$  if  $n \neq m$ . Let  $g_n$  in  $C(X)$  be of norm at most  $1/2n$  such that  $g_n$  agrees with  $f$  on  $V_n$  and vanishes outside  $V'_n$  for each  $n$ . Then  $g_n g_m = 0$  for all  $m \neq n$ . Let  $g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2n g_n \in C(X)$ . Note that  $g$  agrees with  $2nf$  on each  $V_n$ . Moreover, each  $x_\lambda$  belongs to a unique  $V_n$  and  $n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $g - 2nf \in I_{x_\lambda}$ . This implies  $T(g - 2nf) \in I_{y_\lambda}$  and thus  $Tg(y_\lambda) = 2nTf(y_\lambda) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . But the limit should be  $Tg(y)$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Y. A. Abramovich and A. K. Kitover, A solution to a problem on invertible disjointness preserving operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 126(1998), 1501-1505.
- [2] Y. A. Abramovich and A. K. Kitover, Inverses of disjointness preserving operators, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **143** (2000), no. 679, 1-162.
- [3] J. B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [4] J. J. Font and S. Herández, On separating maps between locally compact spaces, *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, **63** (1994), 158-165.
- [5] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
- [6] K. Jarosz, Automatic continuity of separating linear isomorphisms, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **33** (1990), 139-144.
- [7] Jyh-Shyang Jeang and Ngai-Ching Wong, Weighted composition operators of  $C_0(X)$ 's, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **201** (1996), 981-993.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, KAOHSIUNG, TAIWAN, 804, REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*E-mail address:* gauhl, jeangjs, wong@math.nsysu.edu.tw