

# ZERO PRODUCT PRESERVING MAPS OF OPERATOR VALUED FUNCTIONS

WEN-FONG KE, BING-REN LI AND NGAI-CHING WONG

ABSTRACT. Let  $X, Y$  be locally compact Hausdorff spaces and  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$  be Banach algebras. Let  $\theta : C_0(X, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C_0(Y, \mathcal{N})$  be a zero-product preserving bounded linear map with dense range. We show that  $\theta$  is given by a continuous field of algebra homomorphisms from  $\mathcal{M}$  into  $\mathcal{N}$  if  $\mathcal{N}$  is irreducible. As corollaries, such a surjective  $\theta$  arises from an algebra homomorphism, provided that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{N}$  is a semi-simple Banach algebra, or both  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  are  $C^*$ -algebras.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Denote by  $X_\infty = X \cup \{\infty\}$  the one-point compactification of  $X$ . In case  $X$  is already compact,  $\infty$  is an isolated point in  $X_\infty$ . For a real or complex Banach algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ , let  $C_0(X, \mathcal{M}) = \{f \in C(X, \mathcal{M}) : f(\infty) = 0\}$  be the Banach algebra of all continuous vector-valued functions from  $X$  into  $\mathcal{M}$  vanishing at infinity. Note that  $C_0(X, \mathcal{M})$  is isometrically and algebraically isomorphic to the (projective) tensor product  $C_0(X) \otimes \mathcal{M}$ .

In this paper, we shall study those bounded linear maps  $\theta$  from  $C_0(X, \mathcal{M})$  into another such algebra  $C_0(Y, \mathcal{N})$  preserving zero products. Namely,  $fg = 0$  implies  $\theta(f)\theta(g) = 0$ . In other words,

$$f(x)g(x) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{M} \text{ for all } x \in X \implies \theta(f)(y)\theta(g)(y) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{N} \text{ for all } y \in Y.$$

For example, let  $\sigma : Y \rightarrow X$  be a continuous function, let  $h$  be a uniformly bounded norm continuous function from  $Y$  into the center of  $\mathcal{N}$ , and let  $\varphi$  be a uniformly bounded SOT continuous function from  $Y$  into  $B(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  such that each  $\varphi_y = \varphi(y)$  is an algebra homomorphism. Then

$$(1.1) \quad \theta(f)(y) = h(y)\varphi_y(f(\sigma(y)))$$

defines a zero-product preserving bounded linear map from  $C_0(X, \mathcal{M})$  into  $C_0(Y, \mathcal{N})$ . In particular,  $\theta = h\varphi$  for a bounded central element  $h$  in the algebra  $C(Y, \mathcal{N})$  and an

---

*Date:* June 12, 2002; submitted to Proc. A. M. S..

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E40, 47B33.

*Key words and phrases.* Zero product preserving maps, Banach algebra homomorphisms.

Correspondence author: Ngai-Ching Wong, Department of Applied Mathematics, National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung 804, Taiwan. *E-mail:* wong@math.nsysu.edu.tw.

algebra homomorphism  $\varphi$  from  $C_0(X, \mathcal{M})$  into  $C_0(Y, \mathcal{N})$ . We will investigate when zero product preserving bounded linear maps arise in this way.

For the scalar case, every zero-product preserving bounded linear map  $\theta$  from  $C_0(X)$  into  $C_0(Y)$  is of the expected form (1.1) [13, 11, 14]. Recall that a subalgebra  $\mathcal{S}$  of the algebra  $B(E)$  of all bounded linear operators on a Banach space  $E$  is said to be *standard* if  $\mathcal{S}$  contains all continuous finite rank operators. Using an interesting geometric approach, Araujo and Jarosz [2] showed that when  $X, Y$  are realcompact and  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  are standard operator algebras, every bijective linear map from  $C(X, \mathcal{M})$  onto  $C(Y, \mathcal{N})$  preserving zero products in both directions is in the form of (1.1). However, in the non-bijective case it becomes a very difficult task without assuming continuity. Even discontinuous algebra homomorphisms have complicated structure ([15, 19]). Finally, readers are referred to [1, 12, 6, 21] for problems of similar interests.

We would like to express our gratitude to Mikhail A. Chebotar and Pjek-Hwee Lee for many helpful discussions.

## 2. RESULTS

A linear map  $\theta$  from  $C_0(X, \mathcal{M})$  into  $C_0(Y, \mathcal{N})$  is said to be *strictly separating* if

$$\|f(x)\| \|g(x)\| = 0 \text{ for all } x \in X \quad \implies \quad \|Tf(y)\| \|Tg(y)\| = 0 \text{ for all } y \in Y.$$

Denote by  $\text{coz}(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}$  the *cozero set* of an  $f$  in  $C_0(X, \mathcal{M})$ . Then  $\theta$  is strictly separating if and only if it preserves the disjointness of cozeroes. We note that a subset  $U$  of  $X$  is the cozero of a continuous function in  $C_0(X, \mathcal{M})$  if and only if  $U$  is  $\sigma$ -compact and open. For any  $\sigma$ -compact open subset  $U$  of  $X$ , denote by  $C_0(U, \mathcal{M})$  the subalgebra of all  $f$  in  $C_0(X, \mathcal{M})$  with  $\text{coz}(f) \subseteq U$ .

Recall that a representation  $\pi : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow B(E)$  of a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{N}$  is said to be *faithful* if the kernel of  $\pi$  is  $\{0\}$ . We call  $\pi$  an *irreducible representation* of  $\mathcal{N}$  if there is no proper linear subspace  $F$  of the Banach space  $E$  such that  $\pi(\mathcal{N})F \subseteq F$ . It amounts to say that for each nonzero vector  $e$  in  $E$ , the linear subspace  $\pi(\mathcal{N})e$  is the whole of  $E$ . Every irreducible representation of a Banach algebra is automatically bounded [15]. A Banach algebra  $\mathcal{N}$  is said to be *irreducible* if it has a faithful irreducible representation  $\pi : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow B(E)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be locally compact Hausdorff spaces. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be Banach algebras such that  $\mathcal{N}$  is irreducible, and let  $\theta$  be a continuous zero-product preserving linear map from  $C_0(X, \mathcal{M})$  into  $C_0(Y, \mathcal{N})$  with dense range. Then  $\theta$  is strictly separating.*

*Indeed, there exists a continuous map  $\sigma : Y \rightarrow X$ , and for each  $y$  in  $Y$  a bounded zero-product preserving linear map  $H_y : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  with dense range such that*

$$\theta(f)(y) = H_y(f(\sigma(y))) \quad \text{for all } f \in C_0(X, \mathcal{M}) \text{ and } y \in Y.$$

Moreover, the correspondence  $y \mapsto H_y$  defines a uniformly bounded map  $H : Y \rightarrow B(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  continuous in the strong operator topology.

*Proof.* Let  $\pi : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow B(E)$  be a faithful irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{N}$ . Composing  $\theta$  with  $\pi$ , we can assume that  $\mathcal{N}$  is an irreducible subalgebra of  $B(E)$  and  $\theta$  is again bounded and zero-product preserving with dense range.

Fix  $y$  in  $Y$ , and denote by

$$S_y = \left\{ x \in X_\infty : \begin{array}{l} \text{for all } \sigma\text{-compact open neighborhood } U \text{ of } x, \\ \text{there is an } f \text{ in } C_0(U, \mathcal{M}) \text{ such that } \theta(f)(y) \neq 0, \\ \text{that is, } \theta|_{C_0(U, \mathcal{M})} \text{ is not trivial at } y \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Claim 1.**  $S_y \neq \emptyset$ .

Suppose not, and for each  $x$  in  $X_\infty$ , there is a  $\sigma$ -compact open neighborhood  $U_x$  of  $x$  such that  $\theta|_{C_0(U_x, \mathcal{M})}$  is trivial at  $y$ . Write

$$X_\infty = U_0 \cup U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_n$$

for  $x_0 = \infty$ , and some  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $X$ , with a  $\sigma$ -compact open neighborhood  $U_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , respectively. Let

$$1 = f_0 + f_1 + \cdots + f_n$$

be a continuous partition of the unity such that  $\text{coz } f_i \subseteq U_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Then for all  $f$  in  $C_0(X, \mathcal{M})$ ,

$$\theta(f) = \theta(f_0 f + f_1 f + \cdots + f_n f) = 0,$$

since  $\text{coz}(f_i f) \subseteq U_i$  for each  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . This is impossible.

**Claim 2.**  $x_1, x_2 \in S_y \implies x_1 = x_2$ .

Suppose  $x_2 \neq x_1 \neq \infty$ . Let  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  be disjoint  $\sigma$ -compact open neighborhoods of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , respectively. We can assume that  $\infty \notin U_1$ . Since

$$f_1 f_2 = f_2 f_1 = 0 \quad \text{for all } f_i \in C_0(U_i, \mathcal{M}), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

we have

$$\theta(f_1)\theta(f_2) = \theta(f_2)\theta(f_1) = 0 \quad \text{in } C_0(Y, \mathcal{N}).$$

Let  $E_1$  be the intersection of the kernels of all  $\theta(f_1)(y)$  with  $f_1$  in  $C_0(U_1, \mathcal{M})$ . Because both  $\theta|_{C_0(U_1, \mathcal{M})}$  and  $\theta|_{C_0(U_2, \mathcal{M})}$  are not trivial at  $y$ , we have  $E_1$  is a proper subspace of  $E$ , that is,  $\{0\} \neq E_1 \neq E$ .

Let  $V$  be a nonempty open set in  $Y$  such that  $\overline{V} \subseteq U_1$ . Let  $g$  be in  $C_0(X)$  such that  $\text{coz } g \subseteq U_1$  and  $g|_V = 1$ . For each  $f$  in  $C_0(X, \mathcal{M})$ , write

$$f = fg + f(1 - g).$$

Since  $\text{coz}(fg) \subseteq U_1$ , we have

$$\theta(fg)(y)|_{E_1} = 0.$$

Hence

$$\theta(f)(y)|_{E_1} = \theta(f(1 - g))(y)|_{E_1}.$$

For any  $k$  in  $C_0(X, \mathcal{M})$  with  $\text{coz } k \subseteq V$ , we have  $k(f(1 - g)) = 0$ . This implies

$$\theta(k)(y)\theta(f)(y)|_{E_1} = \theta(k)(y)\theta(f(1 - g))(y)|_{E_1} = 0 \quad \text{for all } f \in C_0(X, \mathcal{M}).$$

However,  $\{\theta(f)(y) : f \in C_0(X, \mathcal{M})\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{N}$ , which is irreducible on  $E$ . Therefore,

$$\theta(k)(y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \in C_0(X, \mathcal{M}) \text{ with } \text{coz } k \subseteq V.$$

Since  $V$  is an arbitrary nonempty open set with closure contained in  $U_1$ , we have

$$\theta(k)(y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \in C_0(U_1, \mathcal{M}).$$

This conflict establishes Claim 2.

By Claims 1 and 2,  $S_y$  is a singleton.

**Claim 3.** If  $S_y = \{x\}$  then

$$f(x) = 0 \quad \implies \quad \theta(f)(y) = 0.$$

By Urysohn's Lemma, we can assume  $f$  vanishes in a neighborhood of  $x$ . Now  $x \notin \overline{\text{coz } f}$ , which is compact in  $X_\infty$ . For each  $x'$  in  $\overline{\text{coz } f}$ , there is a  $\sigma$ -compact open neighborhood  $U'$  of  $x'$  such that  $\theta|_{C_0(U', \mathcal{M})}$  is trivial at  $y$ . By a compactness argument as the one proving Claim 1, we see that  $\theta(f)(y) = 0$ .

It follows from Claim 3 that  $S_y \neq \{\infty\}$  for all  $y$  in  $Y$  since  $\theta$  has dense range. Denote by  $\sigma(y) = x$  if  $S_y = \{x\}$ . Then there is a linear map  $H_y : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  such that

$$\theta(f)(y) = H_y(f(\sigma(y))) \quad \text{for all } f \in C_0(X, \mathcal{M}) \text{ and } y \in Y.$$

In particular,  $\theta$  is strictly separating.

The rest of the proof follows in a straightforward manner, or one can quote the standard results about strictly separating maps in [6, 12].  $\square$

The following lemma might be known, although we do not find a proof from the literature. Remark that it is shown in [17] every non-zero Banach algebra homomorphism from  $B(H)$  into  $B(K)$  is injective if both  $H$  and  $K$  are separable Hilbert spaces. However, there is an example in [18] of a non-zero homomorphism from  $B(H)$  into  $B(H)$  with compact operators as its kernel, where  $H$  is inseparable. Moreover, it

is known that every irreducible representation of a Banach algebra is norm continuous [15] and every algebra isomorphism between  $C^*$ -algebras is a  $*$ -isomorphism [20, Theorem 4.1.20].

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $H, K$  be real or complex Hilbert spaces of arbitrary dimension. Let  $B(H)$  and  $B(K)$  be the algebras of all bounded linear operators on  $H$  and  $K$ , respectively. Then every surjective algebra homomorphism from  $B(H)$  onto  $B(K)$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The case is trivial when  $H$  is of finite dimension since  $B(H)$  is then a simple algebra. Suppose the (Hilbert space) dimension of  $H$  is an infinite cardinal number  $\aleph_H$ . For each infinite cardinal number  $\aleph \leq \aleph_H$ , let  $I_\aleph$  be the closed two-sided ideal of  $B(H)$  consisting of operators  $T$  such that all closed subspaces contained in the range of  $T$  is of dimension less than  $\aleph$ . In case  $H$  is separable,  $I_{\aleph_H} = \mathcal{K}(H)$ , the ideal of compact operators on  $H$ . In general, as indicated in [5] that  $I_{\aleph_H}$  is the largest two-sided ideal of  $B(H)$ . In fact, every closed two-sided ideal of  $B(H)$  is in the form of  $I_\aleph$  for some  $\aleph \leq \aleph_H$  [9, Section 17].

Let  $\theta$  be an algebra homomorphism from  $B(H)$  onto  $B(K)$ . Then the kernel  $I$  of  $\theta$  is a closed two-sided ideal of  $B(H)$ . Since the quotient algebra  $B(H)/I$  is isomorphic to  $B(K)$ , there is an  $e$  in  $B(H)$  such that  $(e + I)B(H)(e + I) = eB(H)e + I$  is of one dimension modulo  $I$ . Assume  $I$  is nonzero. Let  $\aleph$  be the infinite cardinal number such that  $I = I_\aleph$ . Then the range of  $e$  contains a closed subspace of dimension  $\aleph$ . By halving this subspace into two each of dimension  $\aleph$ , we see that  $eB(H)e$  contains two elements linear independent modulo  $I_\aleph$ , a contradiction. This completes our proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $X, Y$  be locally compact Hausdorff spaces. Let  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$  be either the Banach algebras  $B(H), B(K)$  of all bounded operators or  $\mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{K}(K)$  of compact operators on real or complex Hilbert spaces  $H, K$ , respectively. Let  $\theta : C_0(X, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C_0(Y, \mathcal{N})$  be a continuous surjective zero-product preserving linear map. Then there exist a continuous function  $\sigma$  from  $Y$  into  $X$ , a continuous scalar function  $h$  on  $Y$ , and a SOT continuous map  $y \mapsto S_y$  from  $Y$  into  $B(K, H)$  such that  $S_y$  is invertible and*

$$(2.1) \quad \theta(f)(y) = h(y)S_y^{-1}f(\sigma(y))S_y, \quad \forall f \in C(X, \mathcal{M}), \forall y \in Y.$$

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 1 that for each fixed  $y$  in  $Y$ ,  $\theta$  induces a bounded zero-product preserving linear map  $H(y)$  from  $\mathcal{M}$  onto  $\mathcal{N}$ . By either [10, Theorem 2.1] or [7, Corollary 3.2],  $H(y)$  is a scalar multiple of a bounded algebra homomorphism from  $\mathcal{M}$  onto  $\mathcal{N}$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}(H)$  is simple, this algebra homomorphism is indeed an isomorphism if  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  are  $\mathcal{K}(H)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(K)$ , respectively. On the other hand, by Lemma 2 the algebra homomorphism above is again an isomorphism in case  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  are  $B(H)$  and  $B(K)$ , respectively. Thus, by either [3, Theorem 4] or [8, Corollary 3.2], there exist a scalar  $h(y)$  and a bounded invertible operator  $S_y$  on  $K$  to implement (2.1). It is then routine to check the continuity of  $h$  and the map  $y \mapsto S_y$ .  $\square$

The following corollary holds, for example, when  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra, or a unital  $C^*$ -algebra of real rank zero [4].

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be locally compact Hausdorff spaces such that  $X$  is compact. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a unital Banach algebra such that the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}$  generated by its idempotents is norm dense in  $\mathcal{M}$ , and let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a semi-simple Banach algebra. Let  $\theta$  be a continuous zero-product preserving linear map from  $C(X, \mathcal{M})$  into  $C_0(Y, \mathcal{N})$  with dense range. Then  $\theta(1)$  is in the center of  $C_0(Y, \mathcal{N})$ , and*

$$(2.2) \quad \theta(1)\theta(fg) = \theta(f)\theta(g) \quad \text{for all } f, g \in C(X, \mathcal{M}).$$

*Suppose, in addition, that  $Y$  is compact and  $\mathcal{N}$  is unital. If  $\theta(1)$  is invertible or  $\theta$  is surjective, then  $\theta = \theta(1)\varphi$  for an algebra homomorphism  $\varphi$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow B(E)$  be an irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{N}$ . Then  $\theta_\pi = \pi \circ \theta$  is again a continuous zero-product preserving linear map from  $C(X, \mathcal{M})$  into  $C_0(Y, \pi(\mathcal{N}))$  with dense range. By Theorem 1, we find that  $\theta_\pi$  carries a weighted composition operator form

$$\theta_\pi(f)(y) = H_y(f(\sigma(y))) \quad \text{for all } f \in C(X, \mathcal{M}) \text{ and } y \in Y.$$

In particular, each  $H_y$  is a continuous zero-product preserving linear map from  $\mathcal{M}$  into  $\pi(\mathcal{N})$  with dense range.

By results in [10] (see also [7]), for each  $y$  in  $Y$  we have  $\theta_\pi(1)(y) = H_y(1)$  is in the center of  $\mathcal{N}$  and

$$H_y(1)H_y(ab) = H_y(a)H_y(b) \quad \text{for all } a, b \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Hence

$$\pi(\theta(1)\theta(f) - \theta(f)\theta(1)) = 0$$

and

$$\pi(\theta(1)\theta(fg) - \theta(f)\theta(g)) = 0$$

for all  $f, g$  in  $C(X, \mathcal{M})$ . Being semi-simple,  $\mathcal{N}$  has a faithful family of irreducible representations. Thus  $\theta(1)$  is in the center of  $C_0(Y, \mathcal{N})$  and (2.2) holds.

Now, we assume that  $Y$  is compact and  $\mathcal{N}$  is unital. If  $\theta$  is surjective,  $1 = \theta(f)$  for some  $f$  in  $C(X, \mathcal{M})$ . It follows from  $\theta(1)\theta(f^2) = \theta(f)^2 = 1$  that  $\theta(1)$  is invertible. Assume  $\theta(1)$  is invertible. Then  $\theta(1)^{-1}\theta$  is again a bounded zero-product preserving linear map with dense range, and sends 1 to 1. Suppose now  $\theta(1) = 1$ . Then (2.2) ensures that  $\theta$  is an algebra homomorphism.  $\square$

A recent result in [7] states that every surjective zero-product preserving bounded linear map  $\theta$  between unital  $C^*$ -algebras is a product  $\theta = \theta(1)\varphi$  of the invertible central element  $\theta(1)$  and an algebra homomorphism  $\varphi$ . Since  $C(X, \mathcal{A})$  (resp.  $C(Y, \mathcal{B})$ ) is  $*$ -isomorphic to the (projective) tensor product  $C(X) \otimes \mathcal{A}$  (resp.  $C(Y) \otimes \mathcal{B}$ ) as  $C^*$ -algebras (see, e.g., [16]), we have the following

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compact Hausdorff spaces, and  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras. Let  $\theta$  be a continuous zero-product preserving linear map from  $C(X, \mathcal{A})$  onto  $C(Y, \mathcal{B})$ . Then  $\theta(1)$  is an invertible element in the center of  $C(Y, \mathcal{B})$ , and  $\theta = \theta(1)\varphi$  for an algebra homomorphism  $\varphi$ .*

The following example shows that the irreducibility condition on  $\mathcal{N}$  cannot be dropped in Theorem 1, and the map  $\theta$  in the Corollaries 4 and 5 cannot be written as a weighted composition operator in the form of (1.1) in general.

*Example 6.* Let  $X = \{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$  be the two-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $Y = \{1, 2\}$  and  $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{C}$  be the one-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra. Define  $\theta : C(X, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C(Y, \mathcal{N})$  by  $\theta(a \oplus b) = g$  with  $g(1) = a$  and  $g(2) = b$ . Then  $\theta$  is bijective and preserves zero products in both directions.

Remark that  $\theta : C(X, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C(Y, \mathcal{N})$  satisfies the condition stated in Theorem 1. In fact, let  $h_1(a \oplus b) = a$  and  $h_2(a \oplus b) = b$  be the canonical projection of  $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$  onto its summands, and set  $\sigma(1) = \sigma(2) = 0$ . Then

$$\theta(f)(y) = h_y(f(\sigma(y))), \quad \forall f \in C(X, \mathcal{M}), \forall y \in Y.$$

However,  $\mathcal{M}$  is not irreducible and  $T^{-1} : C(Y, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow C(X, \mathcal{M})$  does not carry a weighted composition operator form. Note also that  $X$  and  $Y$  are not homeomorphic although both  $C(X, \mathcal{M})$  and  $C(Y, \mathcal{N})$  are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$  as  $C^*$ -algebras and  $\theta$  implements an algebra isomorphism between them.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Y. A. Abramovich, Multiplicative representations of disjointness preserving operators, *Indag. Math.* **45** (1983), 265–279.
- [2] J. Araujo and K. Jarosz, Biseparating maps between operator algebras, *preprint*, available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.OA/0106107>.
- [3] B. H. Arnold, Rings of operators on vector spaces, *Ann. Math.* **45** (1944), 24–49.
- [4] L. G. Brown and G. K. Pedersen,  $C^*$ -algebras of real rank zero, *J. Funct. Anal.* **99** (1991), 131–149.
- [5] J. W. Calkin, Two-sided ideals and congruences in the ring of bounded operators in Hilbert space, *Ann. Math.* **42** (1941), 839–873.
- [6] J. T. Chan, Operators with the disjoint support property, *J. Operator Theory* **24** (1990), 383–391.
- [7] M. A. Chebotar, W.-F. Ke, P.-H. Lee and N.-C. Wong, Mappings preserving zero products, *preprint*.
- [8] P. R. Chernoff, Representations, automorphisms and derivations of some operator algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **12** (1973), 275–289.
- [9] J. B. Conway, *A course in operator theory*, Grad. Studies in Math. **21**, American Math. Soc., Rhode Island, 1999.
- [10] J. Cui and J. Hou, Linear maps on von Neumann algebras preserving zero products or tr-rank, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **65** (2002), 79–91.
- [11] J. J. Font and S. Hernández, On separating maps between locally compact spaces, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **63** (1994), 158–165.

- [12] J. E. Jamison and M. Rajagopalan, Wighted composition operator on  $C(X, E)$ , *J. Operator Theory* **19** (1988), 307–317.
- [13] K. Jarosz, Automatic continuity of separating linear isomorphisms, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **33** (1990), 139–144.
- [14] J.-S. Jeang and N.-C. Wong, Weighted composition operators of  $C_0(X)$ 's, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **201** (1996), 981–993.
- [15] B. E. Johnson, Continuity of homomorphisms of algebras of operators, *J. London Math. Soc.* **42** (1967), 537–541.
- [16] B.-R. Li, *Introduction to operator algebras*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.
- [17] H. Porta and J. T. Schwartz, Representations of the algebra of all operators in Hilbert spaces, and related analytic function algebras, *Comm. Pure and Applied Math.* **20** (1967), 457–492.
- [18] H. Porta, A note on homomorphisms of operator algebras, *Colloq. Math.* **20** (1969), 117–119.
- [19] V. Runde, The structure of discontinuous homomorphisms from non-commutative  $C^*$ -algebras, *Glasgow Math. J.* **36** (1994), 209–218.
- [20] S. Sakai,  *$C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [21] M. Wolff, Disjointness preserving operators on  $C^*$ -algebras, *Arch. Math.* **62** (1994), 248–253.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CHENG KUNG UNIVERSITY, TAINAN 701, TAIWAN.

*E-mail address:* wfke@mail.ncku.edu.tw

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100080, CHINA.

*E-mail address:* libr@95777.com

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, KAOHSIUNG 804, TAIWAN.

*E-mail address:* wong@math.nsysu.edu.tw