

# LOCAL AUTOMORPHISMS OF OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

JUNG-HUI LIU AND NGAI-CHING WONG

ABSTRACT. A not necessarily continuous, linear or multiplicative function  $\theta$  from an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  into itself is called a local automorphism if  $\theta$  agrees with an automorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$  at each point in  $\mathcal{A}$ . In this paper, we study the question when a local automorphism of a C\*-algebra, or a W\*-algebra, is an automorphism.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra and  $\theta$  be a function from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}$ . We call  $\theta$  an *automorphism* if  $\theta$  is bijective, linear, and multiplicative. We call  $\theta$  a *local automorphism* if  $\theta$  agrees at each point  $a$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  with an automorphism  $\theta_a$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e.,  $\theta(a) = \theta_a(a)$ . Note that  $\theta_a$  may depend on  $a$ . This notion obviously relates to the properties of preserving invertibility, commutativity, idempotents, square zero elements, and more important, spectra (see, e.g., [13, 7, 27, 31, 9, 10, 11, 29]). The potential applications in mathematical physics is also clear (see, e.g., [25]). In this paper, we will investigate when a local automorphism of an operator algebra is an automorphism.

A local automorphism sends 0 to 0, and 1 to 1 in case  $\mathcal{A}$  is unital, but else it can be arbitrary. For example, let  $H$  be a complex Hilbert space and  $B(H)$  the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $H$ . Define an equivalence relation on  $B(H)$  by saying that  $A$  and  $B$  are equivalent if there is a unitary operator  $U$  on  $H$  such that  $A = UBU^*$ . Assign to each member in an equivalence class  $[A]$  the same unitary  $U_{[A]}$ , and then define  $\theta : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$  by

$$\theta(A) = U_{[A]}AU_{[A]}^*, \quad \text{for all } A \text{ in } B(H).$$

It is easy to see that  $\theta$  is a bijective local automorphism of  $B(H)$  preserving norm. Unless all  $U_{[A]}$  are equal, however,  $\theta$  does not observe any algebraic structure of  $B(H)$ .

---

*Date:* November 19, 2006.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L40, 47B49, 47L10.

*Key words and phrases.* local automorphisms, operator algebras, Jordan homomorphisms.

To get a meaningful theory it seems to be necessary to assume linearity, surjectivity and/or continuity of a local automorphism. Note that injectivity is free whenever linearity presents. On the other hand, local automorphisms are spectrum preserving. It then follows from a result of Aupetit that a surjective linear local automorphism of a semisimple Banach algebra is automatically bounded (see, e.g., [2]). But such linear (and thus continuous and injective) automorphisms can be not surjective (see Example 3.3 below, and see also [24, Example 2.8]).

The notion of local automorphisms is introduced by Larson and Sourour [23]. They showed that every invertible linear local automorphism of a matrix algebra is either an automorphism or an anti-automorphism, and that of  $B(H)$  is an automorphism whenever  $H$  is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space (see also Brešar and Šemrl [8].)

In this paper, we will see that a surjective linear local automorphism  $\theta$  of a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}$  is a Jordan isomorphism. In case  $\mathcal{N}$  is properly infinite,  $\theta$  is an automorphism. On the other hand, linear local automorphisms of abelian  $C^*$ -algebras are always algebra homomorphisms. They are not necessarily surjective, however. A sufficient condition ensuring surjectivity is that the pure state space is first countable, and a counter example is provided when this does not hold.

We do not know too much about linear local automorphisms of non-abelian  $C^*$ -algebras, except for those with real rank zero. In comparison, there is a similar concept called *local derivations*. In [21], Kadison showed that every bounded linear local derivation of a von Neumann algebra is a derivation, and in [30], Shul'man extended this to the case of  $C^*$ -algebras. See also similar results of Brešar [6] and Johnson [20].

We are grateful to Matej Brešar, Martin Mathieu and Peter Šemrl for many helpful comments. Special thanks are due to Lajos Molnár for reading through a preliminary version of this paper and providing useful advice.

## 2. LOCAL AUTOMORPHISMS OF $W^*$ -ALGEBRAS

We first state some properties of a local automorphism without proof.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\theta$  be a local automorphism of an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

- (1)  *$\theta$  preserves  $k$ -potents for  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ ; more precisely,  $a^k = a$  if and only if  $\theta(a)^k = \theta(a)$ .*

- (2)  $\theta$  preserves  $k$ -power zero elements; more precisely,  $a^k = 0$  if and only if  $\theta(a)^k = 0$ .
- (3)  $\theta$  preserves central elements.
- (4)  $\theta$  preserves left (resp. right, two-sided) zero divisors.
- (5)  $\theta$  preserves zeros of polynomials, and thus algebraic elements.
- (6) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is unital, then  $\theta$  preserves left (resp. right, two-sided) invertibility.
- (7) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is unital, then  $\theta$  preserves left (resp. right, two-sided) spectra.
- (8) If  $\theta$  is linear, then we can extend  $\theta$  uniquely to a local automorphism of the unitalization of  $\mathcal{A}$  by setting  $\theta(1) = 1$ .

In [23], Larson and Sourour show that every linear local automorphism of the matrix algebra  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is either of the form  $A \mapsto TAT^{-1}$  or of the form  $A \mapsto TA^tT^{-1}$  for some nonsingular matrix  $T$ . Indeed, a matrix  $A$  and its transpose  $A^t$  have the same Jordan form, and thus  $A$  and  $A^t$  are similar to each other. Therefore, the map  $A \mapsto A^t$  is a surjective linear local automorphism, but not an automorphism for  $n > 1$ .

Recall that a Jordan homomorphism of an algebra is a linear map preserving the Jordan product  $a \circ b = ab + ba$ . The following result was proved by Brešar and Šemrl [11]. See also [6, 7]. We sketch the proof here for completeness.

**Theorem 2.2** (Brešar and Šemrl). *Every bounded linear local automorphism  $\theta$  of a  $W^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{N}$  is a Jordan homomorphism.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1,  $\theta$  sends idempotent elements to idempotent elements. It follows that  $\theta$  sends orthogonal idempotents to orthogonal idempotents. By the spectral theory, every self-adjoint element  $a$  in  $\mathcal{N}$  can be approximated in norm by linear sums of orthogonal projections. More precisely,

$$a = \lim_n \sum_k \lambda_{nk} P_{nk},$$

for some families of finitely many orthogonal projections  $P_{nk}$ . By the boundedness of  $\theta$ , we have

$$\theta(a) = \lim_n \sum_k \lambda_{nk} \theta(P_{nk}).$$

The above observation implies that

$$\theta(a)^2 = \lim_n \sum_k \lambda_{nk}^2 \theta(P_{nk}) = \theta(a^2).$$

Now for all self-adjoint  $a, b$  in  $\mathcal{N}$ , the equality  $\theta((a+b)^2) = (\theta(a+b))^2$  gives  $\theta(ab+ba) = \theta(a)\theta(b) + \theta(b)\theta(a)$ . We see that  $\theta$  is a Jordan homomorphism by observing the equality  $(\theta(a+ib))^2 = (\theta(a) + i\theta(b))^2 = \theta((a+ib)^2)$ .  $\square$

We provide a refinement of Theorem 2.2 below.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Suppose the range of a linear local automorphism  $\theta$  of a  $W^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{N}$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra. Then  $\theta$  is automatically bounded, and thus a Jordan homomorphism. If, in addition,  $\mathcal{N}$  is properly infinite, then  $\theta$  is an algebra homomorphism.*

*Proof.* The first assertion was proved in [15]. Indeed, surjective spectrum preserving linear maps between semisimple Banach algebras are automatically bounded (see, e.g., [2]). By Theorem 2.2, we see that  $\theta$  is a Jordan homomorphism.

From now on, suppose  $\mathcal{N}$  is properly infinite. That is, every nonzero central projection in  $\mathcal{N}$  is infinite. By a result of Brešar [5] (see also [1]), there are  $\sigma$ -weakly closed ideals  $I, J$  of  $\mathcal{N}$  and ideals  $I', J'$  of  $\theta(\mathcal{N})$  such that  $\mathcal{N} = I \oplus J$ ,  $\theta(\mathcal{N}) = I' \oplus J'$ , and  $\theta$  induces an algebra isomorphism from  $I$  onto  $I'$  and an anti-isomorphism from  $J$  onto  $J'$ . In particular,  $\theta(ab) = \theta(b)\theta(a)$  for all  $a, b$  in  $J$ .

Suppose  $J$  is not zero, for else we are done. Let  $1_I, 1_J$  be the orthogonal central projections in  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $I = 1_I\mathcal{N}$  and  $J = 1_J\mathcal{N}$ . Since  $1_J$  is not finite, there is a partial isometry  $p$  in  $J$  such that  $p^*p = 1_J$  but  $pp^* < 1_J$ . Observe

$$(p^* + 1_I)(p + 1_I) = p^*p + 1_I = 1,$$

$$(p + 1_I)(p^* + 1_I) = pp^* + 1_I < 1.$$

Hence,  $p + 1_I$  is not right invertible. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $\theta(p + 1_I)$  is not right invertible, either. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \theta(1) = \theta((p^* + 1_I)(p + 1_I)) \\ &= \theta(p^*p) + \theta(1_I) = \theta(p)\theta(p^*) + \theta(1_I) \\ &= (\theta(p) + \theta(1_I))(\theta(p^*) + \theta(1_I)). \end{aligned}$$

This says  $\theta(p + 1_I)$  is right invertible, a contradiction.  $\square$

A linear local automorphism  $\theta$  of a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}$  sends central projections to central idempotents, indeed projections, as  $\theta$  also preserves spectra. Let  $I = \mathcal{N}p$  be a  $\sigma$ -weakly closed two-sided ideal of  $\mathcal{N}$  with  $p$  a central projection in  $\mathcal{N}$ . By Theorem 2.2,  $\theta$  preserves Jordan products, and thus

$$\theta(ap) = (\theta(a)\theta(p) + \theta(p)\theta(a))/2 = \theta(a)\theta(p), \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Hence,  $\theta(I) = \theta(\mathcal{N})\theta(p)$  is also a  $\sigma$ -weakly closed two-sided ideal of  $\mathcal{N}$  if  $\theta$  is surjective. By a result of Sakai [28, Corollary 4.1.23], every algebra isomorphism between two  $W^*$ -algebras are of the form  $a \mapsto \pi(ua u^{-1})$  where  $\pi$  is a  $\sigma$ -weakly bi-continuous  $*$ -isomorphism and  $u$  is an invertible element in the domain. A similar result also holds for algebra anti-isomorphisms. Thus,  $\theta$  preserves types of ideals, too. In view of Theorem 2.3 and results of Larson and Sourour [23], and Brešar and Šemrl [8], there is just only one case not completely clear to us at this moment, and we make it as a

**Problem 2.4.** Can a surjective linear local automorphism of a von Neumann algebra of type  $II_1$  be an anti-automorphism?

### 3. LOCAL AUTOMORPHISMS OF $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

Some of above arguments also apply to linear local automorphisms of  $C^*$ -algebras of real rank zero. However, another result of Brešar [4] about the structure of Jordan homomorphisms between  $C^*$ -algebras might be used instead of that in [5] (see also [12]). Note that every self-adjoint element in such an algebra can also be approximated in norm by linear sums of orthogonal idempotents. Recall also that a  $C^*$ -algebra is *purely infinite* if every hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra is infinite.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\theta$  be a linear local automorphism of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of real rank zero. Suppose the range of  $\theta$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $\theta$  is a Jordan homomorphism. If, in addition,  $\mathcal{A}$  is purely infinite, then  $\theta$  is an automorphism.*

Due to the lack of projections, we do not know whether the above theorem holds or not if the  $C^*$ -algebra is not of real rank zero. However, the abelian case is completely

done. The following result is due to Molnár and Zalar [26]. We sketch a proof here for completeness.

**Theorem 3.2** ([26]). *Every complex linear local automorphism  $\theta$  of an abelian  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} = C_0(X)$  is an isometric algebra homomorphism. In case  $X$  is first countable,  $\theta$  is an automorphism.*

*Proof.* Note that every isometric algebra homomorphism (resp. automorphism) of  $C_0(X)$  arises from a composition  $f \mapsto f \circ \phi$  with a quotient map (resp. homeomorphism)  $\phi$  from  $X$  onto  $X$  (see, e.g., [16]).

Let  $X_\infty = X \cup \{\infty\}$  be the one-point compactification of  $X$ . Setting  $\theta(1) = 1$ , we can also consider that  $\theta$  is a linear local automorphism of  $C(X_\infty)$ . For an  $f$  in  $C(X_\infty)$ , the spectrum of  $f$  coincides with its range  $\sigma(f) = f(X_\infty)$ . In particular, the norm of  $f$  equals its spectral radius, and  $f$  is invertible exactly when  $f$  is non-vanishing on  $X_\infty$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $\theta$  preserves both norm and invertibility (i.e. being non-vanishing). By the Gleason-Kahane-Zelazko Theorem [17, 22] (see also [18]),  $\theta$  is multiplicative, and thus an isometric algebra homomorphism of  $C(X_\infty)$ . More precisely,  $\theta(f) = f \circ \phi$ , where the map  $\phi : X_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$  is continuous, open and onto. Clearly,  $\phi$  sends exactly  $\infty$  to  $\infty$ . Hence, we can also think of  $\phi$  as a quotient map from  $X$  onto  $X$ , and  $\theta$  as an isometric algebra homomorphism of  $C_0(X)$ .

Assume now that  $X$  is first countable. We show that  $\phi$  is one-to-one. Suppose  $\phi(x) = \phi(y) = z$ . Let  $f$  be a continuous function in  $C_0(X)$  peak at  $z$ ; namely,  $0 \leq f \leq 1$  and  $f$  assumes value 1 exactly at the point  $z$ . Since  $\theta(f) = f \circ \phi_f$  for some homeomorphism  $\phi_f$  of  $X$ , the function  $\theta(f) = f \circ \phi$  peaks at exactly one point. This forces  $x = y$ . Therefore,  $\phi$  is a homeomorphism and  $\theta$  is an automorphism.  $\square$

In the following example, we see that a linear local automorphism of  $C(X)$  needs not be surjective if  $X$  contains a non- $G_\delta$  point.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $\omega$  and  $\beta$  be the first infinite and the first uncountable ordinal number, respectively. Let  $[0, \beta]$  be the compact Hausdorff space consisting of all ordinal numbers  $x$  not greater than  $\beta$  and equipped with the topology generated by order intervals. Note that every continuous function  $f$  in  $C[0, \beta]$  is eventually constant.

More precisely, there is a non-limit ordinal  $x_f$  such that  $\omega < x_f < \beta$  and  $f(x) = f(\beta)$  for all  $x \geq x_f$ .

Define  $\phi : [0, \beta] \rightarrow [0, \beta]$  by setting

$$\phi(0) = \beta, \quad \phi(n) = n - 1 \text{ for all } n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad \text{and } \phi(x) = x \text{ for all } x \geq \omega.$$

Let  $\theta : C[0, \beta] \rightarrow C[0, \beta]$  be the non-surjective composition operator defined by  $\theta(f) = f \circ \phi$ . We shall see that  $\theta$  is an isometric linear local automorphism. Indeed,  $\theta$  is clearly isometric and linear. For each  $f$  in  $C[0, \beta]$ , let  $\phi_f$  be the homeomorphism of  $[0, \beta]$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_f(0) &= x_f, & \phi_f(n) &= n - 1 \text{ for all } n = 1, 2, \dots, & \phi_f(x) &= x \text{ for all } \omega \leq x < x_f, \\ \phi_f(x) &= x + 1 \text{ for all } x_f \leq x < x_f + \omega, & \text{and } \phi_f(x) &= x \text{ for all } x \geq x_f + \omega. \end{aligned}$$

It is plain that  $\theta(f) = f \circ \phi = f \circ \phi_f$  for all  $f$  in  $C[0, \beta]$ .

Note that to utilize the Gleason-Kahane-Zelazko Theorem [17, 22] in the proof of Theorem 3.2, the underlying field is assumed to be the complex. We are expecting a new proof for the real case. Here is a partial solution.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Suppose the underlying field is the real,  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $X$  be a locally compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then every linear local automorphism  $\theta$  of  $C_0(X)$  is an automorphism.*

*Proof.* It follows from the local property that  $\theta$  is a linear isometry. By an extension of the Holsztynski Theorem [19], there is a locally compact subset  $Y$  of  $X$  and a surjective continuous open map  $\phi$  from  $Y$  onto  $X$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \theta(f)|_Y = f \circ \phi.$$

It follows from a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.2 that  $\phi$  is one-to-one, and thus a homeomorphism.

We shall construct a strictly positive function  $f$  in  $C_0(X)$  with the property that each level set  $f^{-1}(\lambda) = \{x \in X : f(x) = \lambda\}$  is finite for all  $\lambda > 0$ . Note that  $X$  is the union of all level sets of  $f$ . Suppose we have such an  $f$  for this moment. By the local property,  $\theta(f) = f \circ \phi_f$  is also a function of such kind. For each  $\lambda > 0$ , suppose

$f^{-1}(\lambda)$  consists of distinct points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  in  $X$ . Since  $\phi$  is bijective, there are distinct points  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  in  $Y$  with  $\phi(y_i) = x_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . It follows from (3.1) that  $f(\phi_f(y_i)) = f(\phi(y_i)) = \lambda$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . By counting elements, we see that the points  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  enumerates all of the  $\lambda$ -level set of  $f \circ \phi_f$ . In particular, all the level sets of  $f \circ \phi_f$  are contained in  $Y$ . Consequently,  $X = Y$ , and thus  $\theta$  is an automorphism of  $C_0(X)$ .

Now, we construct such an  $f$  in  $C_0(X)$ . For each  $x$  in  $X$ , by the local compactness, there are  $a < b$  such that  $X \cap [a, b]$  is a compact neighborhood of  $x$  in  $X$ . Let  $\alpha$  be the infimum of all such  $a$  and  $\beta$  be the supremum of all such  $b$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Here, we allow  $\alpha = -\infty$  and  $\beta = +\infty$ . Using this idea, we can write  $X$  as a countable disjoint union  $X = \cup_n X_n$ , where each  $X_n = X \cap [\alpha_n, \beta_n]$  for some  $\alpha_n < \beta_n$  has the property that  $X \cap [a, b]$  is compact in  $X$  for all  $\alpha_n < a < b < \beta_n$ .

Choose an  $f_n$  in  $C_0(X)$  vanishing outside  $(\alpha_n, \beta_n)$ . The behavior of  $f_n$  on  $X_n$  depends on whether  $X$  contains the endpoints  $\alpha_n, \beta_n$ . If  $X_n$  does not contain either of  $\alpha_n, \beta_n$ , we assume  $f_n$  agrees on  $X_n$  with a continuous function which joins the points  $(\alpha_n, 0)$ ,  $(\frac{\alpha_n + \beta_n}{2}, 1/n)$  and  $(\beta_n, 0)$  in the plane firstly by a strictly increasing curve and then by a strictly decreasing one. In case  $X_n$  contains  $\alpha_n$  but not  $\beta_n$ , we assume  $f_n$  agrees on  $X_n$  with a strictly decreasing curve passing through the points  $(\alpha_n, 1/n)$  and  $(\beta_n, 0)$ . A similar construction is applied to the situation that  $X_n$  contains  $\beta_n$  but not  $\alpha_n$ . If  $X_n$  contains both  $\alpha_n, \beta_n$ , our  $f_n$  arises from a strictly decreasing curve passing through the points  $(\alpha_n, 1/n)$  and  $(\beta_n, 1/2n)$ . Let  $f = \sum_n f_n$ . The sum converges uniformly on  $X$  to a strictly positive function in  $C_0(X)$ . For each  $\lambda > 1/n > 0$ , we see that the level set  $f^{-1}(\lambda)$  consists of at most  $2n$  points in  $X$ . This is the required function we need in the first half of the proof.  $\square$

To end this paper, we would like to raise another problem.

**Problem 3.5.** Is every surjective linear local automorphism of a  $C^*$ -algebra, or more generally, a semisimple Banach algebra, a Jordan isomorphism?

Remark that Crist [14] has an example of a bijective linear local automorphism of a three dimensional abelian radial subalgebra of the algebra  $M_3$  of  $3 \times 3$  matrices, which is not a Jordan homomorphism.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Ara and M. Mathieu, *Local multipliers of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Springer-Verlag, London, 2003.
- [2] B. Aupetit, “Spectrum-preserving linear mappings between Banach algebras or Jordan-Banach algebras”, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **62** (2000), 917–924.
- [3] L. Baribeau and T. Ransford, “Non-linear spectrum-preserving maps”, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **32** (2000), 8–14.
- [4] M. Brešar, “Jordan mappings of semiprime rings”, *J. Algebra*, **127** (1989), no. 1, 218–228.
- [5] M. Brešar, “Jordan mappings of semiprime rings, II”, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **44** (1991), no. 2, 233–238.
- [6] M. Brešar, “Characterization of derivations on some normed algebras with involutioin”, *J. Algebra*, **152** (1992), no. 2, 454–462.
- [7] M. Brešar and P. Šemrl, “Mappings which preserve idempotents, local automorphisms, and local derivations”, *Can. J. Math.*, **45** (1993), no. 3, 483–496.
- [8] M. Brešar and P. Šemrl, “On local automorphisms and mappings that preserve idempotents”, *Studia Math.*, **113** (1995), no. 2, 101–108.
- [9] M. Brešar and P. Šemrl, “Linear preservers on  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ ”, in *Linear Operators*, Banach Center Publications **38**, 49–57, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warszawa, 1997.
- [10] M. Brešar and P. Šemrl, “Invertibility preserving maps preserve idempotents”, *Michigan Math. J.*, **45** (1998), 483–488.
- [11] M. Brešar and P. Šemrl, “Spectral characterization of idempotents and invertibility preserving linear maps”, *Expositiones Math.*, **17** (1999), 185–192.
- [12] M. A. Chebotar, W.-F. Ke, P.-H. Lee and N.-C. Wong, “Mappings preserving zero products”, *Studia Math.*, **155** (2003), no. 1, 77–94.
- [13] M. D. Choi, D. Hadwin, E. Nordgren, H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal, “On positive linear maps preserving invertibility”, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **59** (1984), no. 3, 462–469.
- [14] R. Crist, “Local automorphisms”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **128** (2000), no. 5, 1409–1414.
- [15] J. Cui and J. Hou, “A Characterization of homomorphisms between Banach algebras”, *Acta Math Sinica, English Series*, **19** (2003), 1–11.
- [16] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
- [17] A. M. Gleason, A characterization of maximal ideals, *J. Analyse Math.*, **19** (1967), 171–172.
- [18] K. Jarosz, “When is a linear functional multiplicative?”, in *Proc. of The 3rd Conference on Function Spaces*, Cont. Math. **232** (1999), AMS, 201–210.
- [19] J.-S. Jeang and N.-C. Wong, Weighted composition operators of  $C_0(X)$ ’s, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **201** (1996), 981–993.

- [20] B. E. Johnson, “Local derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras are derivations”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **353** (2000), no. 1, 313–325.
- [21] R. V. Kadison, “Local derivations”, *J. Algebra*, **130** (1990), no. 2, 494–509.
- [22] J. P. Kahane and W. Zelazko, A characterization of maximal ideals in commutative Banach algebras, *Studia Math.*, **29** (1968), 339–343.
- [23] D. R. Larson and A. R. Sourour, “Local derivations and local automorphisms of  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ ”, in *Operator Theory: Operator Algebras and Applications, Part 2 (Durham, NH, 1988)*, 187–194, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **51**, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990.
- [24] J.-H. Liu and N.-C. Wong, “2-Local automorphisms of operator algebras”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **321** (2006), 741–750.
- [25] L. Molnár, “Local automorphisms of some quantum mechanical structures”, *Letters in Math. Physics*, **58** (2001), 91–100.
- [26] L. Molnár and B. Zalar, “On local automorphisms of group algebras of compact groups”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **128** (2000), no. 1, 93–99.
- [27] M. Omladič and P. Šemrl, “Linear mappings that preserve potent operators”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **123** (1995), no. 6, 1851–1855.
- [28] S. Sakai,  *$C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [29] P. Šemrl, “Invertibility preserving linear maps and algebraic reflexivity of elementary operators of length one”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **130** (2001), no. 3, 769–772.
- [30] V. S. Shul’man, “Operators preserving ideals in  $C^*$ -algebras”, *Studia Math.*, **109** (1994), no. 1, 67–72.
- [31] A. R. Sourour, “Invertibility preserving linear maps on  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ ”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **348** (1996), no. 1, 13–30.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS NATIONAL SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, AND NATIONAL CENTER FOR THEORETICAL SCIENCES, KAOHSIUNG, 80424, TAIWAN, R.O.C.

*E-mail address:* liujh@math.nsysu.edu.tw, wong@math.nsysu.edu.tw