

POWER COMPACT DISJOINTNESS PRESERVING OPERATORS ON CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

YING-FEN LIN AND NGAI-CHING WONG

ABSTRACT. Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space, and T be a disjointness preserving bounded linear operator on $C_0(X)$. We give a sufficient and necessary condition of T being power compact. Moreover, a description of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of T is given.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space, and $C_0(X)$ be the Banach space of continuous (real or complex) functions on X vanishing at infinity. A linear operator T from $C_0(X)$ into $C_0(Y)$ is *disjointness preserving* if $Tf \cdot Tg = 0$ in $C_0(Y)$ whenever $f \cdot g = 0$ in $C_0(X)$. In [1], Abramovich developed the basic theory of such operators between general vector lattices. Lately such operators were studied in the space of real or complex-valued continuous functions. It is shown that a disjointness preserving linear operator $T : C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(Y)$ is exactly a weighted composition operator $Tf = h \cdot f \circ \varphi$ for some map φ from Y into X and scalar-valued function h on Y (see also [4, 5]).

Let k be a positive integer, a real or complex linear operator T on $C_0(X)$ is called *k-compact* if T^k is compact and is said to be *power compact* if it is *k-compact* for some k . In this paper, we shall give a sufficient and necessary condition of bounded disjointness preserving linear operators on continuous functions being power compact. Moreover, we develop a spectral theory of power compact disjointness preserving bounded linear operators.

We would like to express our gratitude to Anthony Lau for many useful comments.

Date: May 10, 2005.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47B33, 47B38, 46J10.

Key words and phrases. disjointness preserving operators, compact operators, power compact operators, spectral theory.

2. POWER COMPACT DISJOINTNESS PRESERVING OPERATORS

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space of infinite cardinality. Note that a power compact operator might not be bounded. In [4], it is shown that there is always an unbounded disjointness preserving linear operator from $C_0(X)$ onto an infinite-dimensional subspace of $C_0(Y)$ provided X and Y are infinite sets. There is also a comprehensive description of unbounded disjointness preserving linear functionals in [3]. In the following, we present an easy construction of such a functional, and also an example of an unbounded power compact disjointness preserving linear operator. Unlike the bounded ones, unbounded power compact disjointness preserving linear operators might not have a nice structure.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space of infinite cardinality, then there is always an unbounded disjointness preserving linear functional of $C_0(X)$.*

Proof. For each point x in $X \cup \{\infty\}$, let I_x (resp. M_x) be the ideal of $C_0(X)$ consisting of all functions vanishing in a neighborhood of x (resp. vanishing at x). It is not difficult to see that there exists some x in $X \cup \{\infty\}$ with $I_x \subsetneq M_x$ (see [3, Proposition 2.6]). Since I_x is the intersection of all prime ideals of $C_0(X)$ containing it, there is a prime ideal P of $C_0(X)$ such that $I_x \subsetneq P \subsetneq M_x$. Choose any linear lifting Φ to $C_0(X)$ of a linear functional of $C_0(X)/P$ such that $\Phi(k) = 1$ for some k in M_x . In this way, we obtain an unbounded disjointness preserving linear functional Φ of $C_0(X)$. Indeed, if f and g in $C_0(X)$ have a zero product, since P is prime, one of f and g belongs to P . As $\Phi|_P = 0$, one of $\Phi(f)$ and $\Phi(g)$ must be zero. \square

Example 2.2. Let $X = [0, 1] \cup \{2\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $\Phi : C[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an unbounded disjointness preserving linear functional such that $\Phi(1_{[0,1]}) = 1$, where $1_{[0,1]}$ is the constant one function on $[0, 1]$ (see Lemma 2.1). Let $T : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ be defined by

$$Tf|_{[0,1]} = f(0)1_{[0,1]} \quad \text{and} \quad Tf(2) = \Phi(f|_{[0,1]}).$$

Then T is an *unbounded* 2-compact disjointness preserving linear operator. Indeed,

$$T^2(f)|_{[0,1]} = f(0)1_{[0,1]} \quad \text{and} \quad T^2(f)(2) = \Phi(f(0)1_{[0,1]}) = f(0).$$

Thus T^2 is of rank one. \square

In the following, all power compact linear operators are assumed to be bounded.

Theorem 2.3 ([7, Theorem 2.8]). *Let $T : C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(Y)$ be a disjointness preserving bounded linear operator. Then T is compact if and only if there is a sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ of distinct points in X and a norm null mutually disjoint sequence $\{h_n\}_n$ in $C_0(Y)$ such that*

$$(2.1) \quad Tf = \sum_n f(x_n)h_n, \quad \text{for all } f \in C_0(X).$$

In general, for a disjointness preserving bounded linear operator T on $C_0(X)$, let δ_x be the point mass at x in $X \cup \{\infty\}$ and thus $\delta_x \circ T(f) = Tf(x)$ for all f in $C_0(X)$. Set

$$X_\infty = \{x \in X \cup \{\infty\} : \delta_x \circ T = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad X' = X \setminus X_\infty.$$

There exists a continuous function φ from X' to X , and a non-vanishing continuous function h on X' such that

$$(2.2) \quad Tf|_{X_\infty} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Tf|_{X'} = h \cdot f \circ \varphi.$$

See, e.g., [5, 7].

We extend φ and h in (2.2) to the *not necessarily* continuous functions $\tilde{\varphi}$ and \tilde{h} on the whole of $X \cup \{\infty\}$ by setting $\tilde{\varphi} \equiv \infty$ and $\tilde{h} \equiv 0$ outside X' . In this way, we can write

$$(2.3) \quad Tf = \tilde{h} \cdot f \circ \tilde{\varphi}.$$

Theorem 2.4. *Let T be a disjointness preserving linear operator on $C_0(X)$ carrying the form (2.3) and k be a positive integer. Then T is k -compact if and only if*

- (1) *the image of the k th power $\tilde{\varphi}^k$ of $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a countable set $\tilde{\varphi}^k(X \cup \{\infty\}) = \{\infty, x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ such that $X_n = \tilde{\varphi}^{-k}(x_n)$ is open in X for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$; and*
- (2) *the function $\tilde{h} \cdot \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{\varphi} \cdots \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{k-1}$ is continuous on $X \cup \{\infty\}$.*

Proof. Observe that

$$T^k f = (\tilde{h} \cdot \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{\varphi} \cdots \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{k-1}) \cdot f \circ \tilde{\varphi}^k.$$

The necessity follows from Theorem 2.3. We verify the sufficiency. Denote $\tilde{h} \cdot \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{\varphi} \cdots \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{k-1}$ by Ψ for convenience. For each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, let $\Psi_n = \Psi \chi_{X_n}$, where χ_{X_n} is the characteristic function of X_n . Then Ψ_n is continuous on $X \cup \{\infty\}$ and $T^k f = \sum_n f(x_n) \Psi_n$ pointwisely on X . We claim that the sum $T^k = \sum_n \delta_{x_n} \otimes \Psi_n$ converges uniformly. Let $\epsilon > 0$, observe that the set $\{x \in X' : |\Psi(x)| \geq \epsilon\}$ is a compact subset of $X' = \bigcup_n X_n$. Hence, there is a

positive integer N such that $|\Psi(x)| < \epsilon$ whenever $x \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^N X_n$. In other words, $\|\Psi_n\| < \epsilon$ for all $n > N$. Thus the sum converges uniformly, and so T^k is compact. \square

Let $T = \sum_n \delta_{x_n} \otimes h_n$, that is, $Tf = \sum_n f(x_n)h_n$, be a compact disjointness preserving linear operator on $C_0(X)$. Note that for each n , we have $h_m(x_n) \neq 0$ for at most one m . A finite set $\{x_{m_1}, x_{m_2}, \dots, x_{m_n}\}$ is said to be a *primitive cycle* for T and denote by $[x_{m_1}; x_{m_2}; \dots; x_{m_n}]$ if

$$h_{m_n}(x_{m_{n-1}}) \cdots h_{m_3}(x_{m_2})h_{m_2}(x_{m_1})h_{m_1}(x_{m_n}) \neq 0.$$

Theorem 2.5 ([7, Theorem 4.7]). *Let T be a compact disjointness preserving complex linear operator on $C_0(X)$ with the form $Tf = \sum_n f(x_n)h_n$. A nonzero complex number λ is an eigenvalue of T if and only if there is a primitive cycle $[x_{m_1}; x_{m_2}; \dots; x_{m_n}]$ for T such that*

$$\lambda^n = h_{m_n}(x_{m_{n-1}}) \cdots h_{m_3}(x_{m_2})h_{m_2}(x_{m_1})h_{m_1}(x_{m_n}).$$

A nonzero eigenfunction f of T associated with λ can be constructed as

$$f = [I + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{T^{k-1}(T - \lambda)}{\lambda^k}] f',$$

where

$$f' = \frac{h_{m_n}(x_{m_{n-1}}) \cdots h_{m_3}(x_{m_2})h_{m_2}(x_{m_1})}{\lambda^{n-1}} h_{m_1} + \frac{h_{m_n}(x_{m_{n-1}}) \cdots h_{m_3}(x_{m_2})}{\lambda^{n-2}} h_{m_2} + \cdots + h_{m_n}.$$

All such eigenfunctions f generate the eigenspace of T for λ .

Recall that all nonzero spectral values of a power compact operator on a Banach space are eigenvalues (see, e.g., [6, p. 241]).

Theorem 2.6. *Let T be a k -compact disjointness preserving complex linear operator on $C_0(X)$. Write $T^k f = \sum_n f(x_n)h_n$ as in (2.1). Then for each nonzero eigenvalue λ of T , there is a primitive cycle $[x_{m_1}; x_{m_2}; \dots; x_{m_n}]$ for T^k such that*

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda^{kn} = h_{m_n}(x_{m_{n-1}}) \cdots h_{m_3}(x_{m_2})h_{m_2}(x_{m_1})h_{m_1}(x_{m_n}).$$

Conversely, suppose a nonzero complex number λ satisfies (2.4) for some primitive cycle $[x_{m_1}; x_{m_2}; \dots; x_{m_n}]$. Then there exists at least one k th root ω of the unity such that $\omega\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of T .

Proof. If $\lambda \neq 0$ is an eigenvalue of T with a nonzero eigenfunction f , then $Tf = \lambda f$ and $T^k f = \lambda^k f$. Note that T^k is a compact disjointness preserving linear operator on $C_0(X)$. By Theorem 2.5, there is a primitive cycle $[x_{m_1}; x_{m_2}; \dots; x_{m_n}]$ for T^k satisfying (2.4).

Conversely, for each nonzero λ in \mathbb{C} with λ^{kn} satisfying (2.4), we shall prove that $\omega^j \lambda$ is in $\sigma(T)$ for some $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, where $\omega = e^{2\pi i/k}$. Suppose this were not true, then $T - \omega^j \lambda I$ is invertible for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. However, this would provide a contradiction that

$$T^k - \lambda^k I = \prod_{j=1}^k (T - \omega^j \lambda I)$$

is invertible, when λ^k is an eigenvalue of T^k by Theorem 2.5. \square

As indicated in the proof of Theorem 2.6, every eigenfunction of T associated with a nonzero eigenvalue λ is an eigenfunction of T^k associated with λ^k . Although the converse is not too complicated either, we shall see in the following second example that some careful analysis might be needed. The third one explains that nothing can be done for those eigenfunctions associated with the zero eigenvalue.

Example 2.7. (a) Let $X = (0, 2) \cup (3, 5) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $T : C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(X)$ be a compact disjointness preserving linear operator defined by

$$Tf = f(1)\chi_{(0,2)} + f(4)\chi_{(3,5)}.$$

We have $T^2 f = f(1)\chi_{(0,2)} + f(4)\chi_{(3,5)} = Tf$. Thus, $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T^2) = \{0, 1\}$. Note that -1 does not belong to $\sigma(T)$.

(b) Let $X = [-2, -1] \cup [1, 2] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $T : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ be defined by

$$Tf(x) = f(1)h_1(x) + f(-x)h_2(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X,$$

where h_1 and h_2 are the characteristic functions of the intervals $[-2, -1]$ and $[1, 2]$, respectively. Then, $T^2 f = f(1)h_1 + f(-1)h_2$ is a compact endomorphism of $C(X)$. By a direct computation, we see that $\sigma(T) = \{-1, 0, 1\}$ and $\sigma(T^2) = \{0, 1\}$. The eigenspace of T for the eigenvalue 1 is spanned by $h_1 + h_2$ and the one for -1 is spanned by $h_1 - h_2$, while the eigenspace of T^2 for 1 is two-dimensional and spanned by h_1 and h_2 . In particular, neither h_1 nor h_2 is an eigenfunction of the 2-compact lattice endomorphism T .

(c) Let $X = (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $T : C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(X)$ be defined by

$$Tf(x) = \begin{cases} f(-x) \sin \frac{1}{x}, & 0 < x < 1; \\ 0, & -1 < x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then T has zero square and, in particular, is 2-compact. Hence $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T^2) = \{0\}$. However, every f in $C_0(X)$ non-vanishing on $(-1, 0)$ is an eigenfunction of T^2 but not of T . We also note that in this case $\tilde{\varphi}^2(X \cup \{\infty\}) = \{\infty\}$ and $\tilde{h} \cdot \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{\varphi} = 0$, while neither the range of $\tilde{\varphi}$ is countable nor \tilde{h} is continuous on $X \cup \{\infty\}$. \square

For $\theta_0, \theta_1 \in [0, 2\pi)$, we call the subset

$$\Delta(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \{re^{i\theta} : r \geq 0, \theta_0 \leq \theta < \theta_0 + \theta_1\}$$

of \mathbb{C} a *sector* with angle θ_1 . In [2, 8], disjointness preserving endomorphisms of Banach lattices with spectra contained in a sector were discussed. In the following, we see that the spectral theory of a k -compact disjointness preserving bounded linear operator on $C_0(X)$ with spectrum contained in a sector of angle not greater than π/k is quite simple.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $T : C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(X)$ be a compact disjointness preserving linear operator with spectrum contained in a sector with angle not greater than π . Suppose T carries the form $Tf = \sum_n f(x_n)h_n$ for all $f \in C_0(X)$. Then the spectrum of T is*

$$\sigma(T) = \{0, h_1(x_1), h_2(x_2), \dots\}.$$

Moreover, associated with each nonzero $h_n(x_n)$ in $\sigma(T)$ an eigenfunction of T is of the form

$$f_n = \left[I + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{T^{k-1}(T - h_n(x_n))}{h_n(x_n)^k} \right] h_n.$$

The finite dimensional eigenspace of T associated with a nonzero eigenvalue λ is spanned by all such f_n with $\lambda = h_n(x_n)$.

Proof. Suppose $[x_{j_1}; \dots; x_{j_l}]$ is a primitive cycle for T . By Theorem 2.5, each l th root of the nonzero product $h_{j_1}(x_{j_{l-1}}) \cdots h_{j_3}(x_{j_2})h_{j_2}(x_{j_1})h_{j_1}(x_{j_l})$ is an eigenvalue of T . Since $\sigma(T)$ is contained in a sector with angle not greater than π , we have $l = 1$. Then the proof is finished by Theorem 2.5. \square

Corollary 2.9. *Let T be a k -compact disjointness preserving bounded linear operator on $C_0(X)$ with spectrum contained in a sector $\Delta(\theta_0, \theta_1)$ with angle θ_1 not greater than π/k . A nonzero λ in $\Delta(\theta_0, \theta_1)$ is an eigenvalue of T if and only if λ^k is an eigenvalue of T^k . Moreover, the eigenspace of T for λ coincides with that of T^k for λ^k .*

Corollary 2.10. *Let T be a k -compact disjointness preserving bounded linear operator on $C_0(X)$ with positive spectrum. Suppose $T^k f = \sum_n f(x_n)h_n$. Then all functions $h_n \geq 0$, and $\sigma(T) = \{0, h_1(x_1)^{1/k}, h_2(x_2)^{1/k}, \dots\}$. An eigenfunction f_n of T for each positive eigenvalue $h_n(x_n)^{1/k}$ is given by*

$$f_n = \left[I + \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{T^{(l-1)k}(T^k - h_n(x_n)^{1/k})}{h_n(x_n)^{l/k}} \right] h_n.$$

All such f_n span the finite-dimensional eigenspace of T for a given positive eigenvalue λ with $\lambda^k = h_n(x_n)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Yu. A. Abramovich, Multiplicative representation of disjointness preserving operators, *Indag. Math.* **45** (1983), 265–279.
- [2] W. Arendt, Spectral properties of Lamperti operators, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **32** (1983), 199–215.
- [3] L. G. Brown and N.-C. Wong, Unbounded disjointness preserving linear functionals, *Monatsh. Math.* **141** (2004), 21–32.
- [4] K. Jarosz, Automatic continuity of separating linear isomorphisms, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **33** (1990), 139–144.
- [5] J.-S. Jeang and N.-C. Wong, Weighted composition operators of $C_0(X)$'s, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **201** (1996), 981–993.
- [6] P. D. Lax, *Functional Analysis*, Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 2002.
- [7] Y.-F. Lin and N.-C. Wong, Compact disjointness preserving operators of continuous functions, *preprint*.
- [8] X.-D. Zhang, Decomposition theorems for disjointness preserving operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **116** (1993), 158–178.

YING-FEN LIN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, NATIONAL HUALIEN TEACHERS COLLEGE, HUA-LIEN 970, TAIWAN

NGAI-CHING WONG, DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, KAOHSIUNG 804, TAIWAN

E-mail address: linyf@mail.nhltc.edu.tw, wong@math.nsysu.edu.tw