

# KAPLANSKY THEOREM FOR COMPLETELY REGULAR SPACES

LEI LI AND NGAI-CHING WONG

ABSTRACT. Let  $X, Y$  be realcompact spaces or completely regular spaces consisting of  $G_\delta$ -points. Let  $\phi$  be a linear bijective map from  $C(X)$  (resp.  $C^b(X)$ ) onto  $C(Y)$  (resp.  $C^b(Y)$ ). We show that if  $\phi$  preserves nonvanishing functions, that is,

$$f(x) \neq 0, \forall x \in X, \iff \phi(f)(y) \neq 0, \forall y \in Y,$$

then  $\phi$  is a weighted composition operator

$$\phi(f) = \phi(1) \cdot f \circ \tau,$$

arising from a homeomorphism  $\tau : Y \rightarrow X$ . This result is applied also to other nice function spaces, e.g., uniformly or Lipschitz continuous functions on metric spaces.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The problem here is how to recover a topological space  $X$  from the set  $C(X)$  (resp.  $C^b(X)$ ) of continuous (resp. bounded continuous) (real- or complex-valued) functions on  $X$ . We say that a net  $\{x_\lambda\} \subset X$  converges to  $x$  in the *weak topology*  $\sigma(X, C(X))$  if  $f(x_\lambda) \rightarrow f(x)$  for all  $f$  in  $C(X)$ . It is easy to see that the weak topology  $\sigma(X, C^b(X))$  coincides with  $\sigma(X, C(X))$ . A well-known fact states that  $X$  carries the weak topology  $\sigma(X, C(X))$  if and only if  $X$  is completely regular (see, e.g., [9, Theorem 3.6]). In this sense, a completely regular topological space is determined by all its continuous functions.

Assume  $X$  is completely regular throughout this paper. The set  $C(X)$  and  $C^b(X)$  carry the natural algebraic, lattice, and Banach space (for  $C^b(X)$ ), structures. It is plausible that the algebra, the vector lattice, or the Banach space structures of  $C(X)$  or  $C^b(X)$  can also determine the topology of  $X$ .

*Question 1.1.* Suppose that there is an algebra (or lattice, or isometrically linear) isomorphism  $\phi : C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$  or  $\phi : C^b(X) \rightarrow C^b(Y)$ , can we conclude that the completely regular spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  are homeomorphic?

---

*Date:* September 30, 2011; submitted to PAMS.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46E40, 54D60; Secondary 46B42, 47B65.

*Key words and phrases.* nonvanishing preservers, disjointness preservers, common zero preservers, realcompact spaces, Banach-Stone theorems.

This work is supported by The National Natural Science Foundation of China (10926121), and Taiwan NSC grant (098-2811-M-110-039, 99-2115-M-110-007-MY3).

In the literature, there are several well-known results in this line. For example, every ring isomorphism  $\phi : C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$  (resp.  $\phi : C^b(X) \rightarrow C^b(Y)$ ) gives rise to a homeomorphism  $\tau^v : vY \rightarrow vX$  (resp.  $\tau^\beta : \beta Y \rightarrow \beta X$ ) between the Hewitt-Nachbin realcompactifications  $vX$  and  $vY$  (resp. Stone-Ćech compactifications  $\beta X$  and  $\beta Y$ ) of the completely regular spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. However,  $X$  and  $Y$  might be non-homeomorphic in both cases, unless they are both realcompact or compact to start with (see Example 1.2 below).

Let us sketch a proof here. Recall that every  $f$  in  $C(X)$  gives rise to a *zero set*

$$z(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\},$$

and denote by

$$Z(\mathcal{A}(X)) = \{z(f) : f \in \mathcal{A}(X)\}$$

for any subset  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  of  $C(X)$ . In particular,  $Z(C(X)) = Z(C^b(X))$ , and denote it by  $Z(X)$  for simplicity. A *z-filter*  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  is a filter of zero sets in  $Z(X)$ . Call  $\mathcal{F}$  a *z-ultrafilter* if it is a maximal *z-filter*; and call  $\mathcal{F}$  *prime* if  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  or  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  whenever  $X = A \cup B$  and  $A, B \in Z(X)$ . Associated to each *z-ultrafilter*  $\mathcal{F}$  a maximal ideal  $I$  of  $C(X)$  consisting of all continuous functions  $f$  such that  $z(f) \in \mathcal{F}$ . Call  $\mathcal{F}$  *fixed* if  $\bigcap \mathcal{F}$  is a singleton, and call  $\mathcal{F}$  *real* if the quotient field  $C(X)/I$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$  (assuming the underlying field is  $\mathbb{R}$ ). The Stone-Ćech compactification  $\beta X$  can be identified with the set of *all z-ultrafilters* on  $X$ . In this setting,  $X$  consists of all *fixed z-ultrafilters*. The Hewitt-Nachbin realcompactification  $vX$  consists of all *real z-ultrafilters*. Clearly,  $X$  is compact if and only if  $X = \beta X$ . Call  $X$  a *realcompact space* if  $X = vX$ . In fact,  $X$  is realcompact if and only if every prime *z-filter* with the countable intersection property is fixed. For instance, the Linderlöf (and thus separable metric) spaces are realcompact, and discrete spaces of non-measurable cardinality are another examples. Especially, all subspaces of the Euclidean spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (and  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as well) are realcompact. In general,  $X$  is realcompact if and only if  $X$  is homeomorphic to a closed subspace of a product of real lines. However, the order interval  $[0, \omega_1)$  is not realcompact, where  $\omega_1$  is the first uncountable ordinal. As ring isomorphisms preserve *z-ultrafilters* and *real z-ultrafilters*, the above results follow. We refer to the books [9] and [18] for more information about *z-ultrafilters* and realcompact spaces.

On the other hand, the classical Banach-Stone theorem tells us that the geometric structure of the Banach space  $C^b(X)$  determines the topology of its Stone-Ćech compactification  $\beta X$ . In the special case when  $X, Y$  are compact, if  $\phi : C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$  is a surjective linear isometry then there is a homeomorphism  $\tau : Y \rightarrow X$  and a unimodular continuous weight function  $h$  in  $C(Y)$  such that  $\phi$  is the weighted composition operator  $\phi(f) = h \cdot f \circ \tau$ . In general, when  $X, Y$  are completely regular spaces, since  $C^b(X) \cong C(\beta X)$  and  $C^b(Y) \cong C(\beta Y)$  as Banach spaces, there exists a surjective linear isometry between  $C^b(X)$  and  $C^b(Y)$  if and only if  $\beta X$  and  $\beta Y$  are homeomorphic (see, e.g., [9]).

When  $X, Y$  are compact Hausdorff spaces, Kaplansky obtained in [14] yet another criterion: every lattice isomorphism  $\phi : C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$  also gives rise to a homeomorphism  $\tau : Y \rightarrow X$ ; and he also showed in [15] that if  $\phi$  is, in addition, additive then  $\phi(f) = h \cdot f \circ \tau$  with a strictly positive weight function  $h$  in  $C(Y)$ . Moreover, he showed that a positive linear map  $\phi : C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$  is a lattice isomorphism if and only if  $\phi$  *preserves nonvanishing functions* (in two directions), that is,

$$z(f) = \emptyset \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad z(\phi(f)) = \emptyset, \quad \forall f \in C(X).$$

This starts a popular research subject of studying invertibility or spectrum preserving linear maps of Banach algebras (see, e.g., [4, 5]).

Nevertheless, the following example tells us that the algebraic, geometric and lattice structures of the Banach algebra  $C^b(X)$  altogether are still not enough to determine the topology of a realcompact space.

**Example 1.2** (see [9, 4M]). Let  $\Sigma$  be  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\sigma\}$  (where  $\sigma \in \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ ). Clearly,  $\mathbb{N}$  is dense in  $\Sigma$ , and every function  $f$  in  $C^b(\mathbb{N})$  can be extended uniquely to a function  $f^\sigma$  in  $C^b(\Sigma)$ . Although the bijective linear map  $\phi$  from  $C^b(\mathbb{N})$  onto  $C^b(\Sigma)$  defined by  $f \mapsto f^\sigma$  provides an isometric, algebraic and lattice isomorphism, the realcompact spaces  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\Sigma$  are not homeomorphic.

Notice that the map  $\phi$  in Example 1.2 does not preserve nonvanishing functions. In Theorems 2.2 and 2.9 below, we will show that every bijective linear nonvanishing preserver between some nice subspaces of continuous functions is a weighted composition operator  $f \mapsto h \cdot f \circ \tau$  arising from a homeomorphism  $\tau$  between the realcompactifications of the underlying completely regular spaces. This in particular tells us that the property of a linear map preserving nonvanishing functions is stronger than those being multiplicative, lattice isomorphic, and isometric, and thus supplements many results in literatures, e.g., [1, 2, 7, 11, 12, 17].

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

The underlying scalar field  $\mathbb{K}$  is either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , and we will assume that  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  is a vector sublattice (self-adjoint if  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ ) of  $C(X)$  containing all constant functions in the following. Denote by  $\mathcal{A}^b(X) := \mathcal{A}(X) \cap C^b(X)$  the vector sublattice of  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  consisting of bounded functions, and by  $\mathcal{A}(X)_+$  the subset of  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  consisting of non-negative real-valued functions. For any  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}(X)$ , we can decompose  $f = f_1 - f_2 + i(f_3 - f_4)$  in a unique way such that  $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 \in \mathcal{A}(X)_+$  and  $f_1 f_2 = f_3 f_4 = 0$ . Write  $|f| := f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + f_4$ . Clearly,  $|f| \geq 0$  and  $z(|f|) = z(f)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** We say that a subspace  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  of  $C(X)$  is

- (1) *completely regular* if for every point  $x$  and closed subset  $F$  of  $X$  with  $x \notin F$ , there is an  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  such that  $x \notin z(f)$  and  $F \subseteq z(f)$ ;
- (2) *full* if  $Z(\mathcal{A}(X)) = Z(X)$ ;

- (3) *nice* if for any sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{A}^b(X)_+$ , there exists a sequence of strictly positive numbers  $\{\lambda_n\}$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n$  converges pointwisely to a function  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}(X)$ .

Note that a full subspace of  $C(X)$  is completely regular, but might not be normal, i.e., separating disjoint closed sets. For instance, the space  $\text{Lip}(X)$  of all Lipschitz continuous functions on the metric space  $X = (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1)$  is full but not normal.

The following Kaplansky type theorem can be considered as a generalization of the Gleason-Kahane-Zelazko Theorem [10, 13].

**Theorem 2.2.** *Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are realcompact spaces. Let  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(Y)$  be vector sublattices of  $C(X)$  and  $C(Y)$  containing all constant functions, respectively. Assume  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  is nice and completely regular, and  $\mathcal{A}(Y)$  is full. Let  $\phi : \mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(Y)$  be a bijective linear map preserving nonvanishing functions. Then there is a dense subset  $Y_1$  of  $Y$ , containing all  $G_\delta$  points in  $Y$ , and a homeomorphism  $\tau : Y_1 \rightarrow X$  such that*

$$(2.1) \quad \phi(f)(y) = \phi(1)(y)f(\tau(y)), \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A}(X), \forall y \in Y_1.$$

*In case all points of  $Y$  are  $G_\delta$ , or in case  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  is full and  $\mathcal{A}(Y)$  is nice, we have  $Y_1 = Y$ .*

We will establish the proof of Theorem 2.2 in several lemmas.

**Lemma 2.3.**  *$\phi$  is biseparating, i.e.,*

$$fg = 0 \text{ on } X \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \phi(f)\phi(g) = 0 \text{ on } Y.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  with  $fg = 0$ , but  $\phi(f)\phi(g) \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that there exists a  $y_0$  in  $Y$  such that  $\phi(f)(y_0) = \phi(g)(y_0) = 1$ .

Define  $h$  in  $\mathcal{A}(Y)$  by

$$h(y) = \max \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{2} - \text{Re } \phi(f)(y), \frac{1}{2} - \text{Re } \phi(g)(y) \right\}, \quad \forall y \in Y;$$

and put

$$k = \phi^{-1}(h).$$

**Claim:**  $z(\phi(f) + \phi(k)) = \emptyset$ .

Indeed, assume on the contrary that  $y$  belongs to  $z(\phi(f) + \phi(k))$ , that is,

$$\phi(f)(y) + \phi(k)(y) = \phi(f)(y) + h(y) = 0.$$

This provides a contradiction

$$h(y) \geq \frac{1}{2} - \text{Re } \phi(f)(y) = \frac{1}{2} + h(y).$$

It follows from  $z(\phi(f) + \phi(k)) = \emptyset$  that  $z(f + k) = \emptyset$ . In a similar way, we also have  $z(g + k) = \emptyset$ . Notice that  $z(f) \cap z(k) \subseteq z(f + k)$  and  $z(g) \cap z(k) \subseteq z(g + k)$ . We thus have  $z(f) \cap z(k) = z(g) \cap z(k) = \emptyset$ . By the assumption  $z(f) \cup z(g) = X$ , one can conclude  $z(k) = \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction since  $(\phi k)(y_0) = h(y_0) = 0$  and  $\phi$  is nonvanishing preserving. Hence,  $\phi(f)\phi(g) = 0$ , as asserted.

Similarly, we can derive that  $\phi^{-1}$  is also separating, and hence  $\phi$  is a biseparating map.  $\square$

We note that a biseparating mapping might not be nonvanishing preserving as shown in Example 1.2. The following lemma is motivated by the results in [6, 17].

**Lemma 2.4.**  *$\phi$  sends functions without common zeros to functions without common zeros. That is, for any  $m$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  in  $\mathcal{A}(X)$ , we have*

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^m z(f_k) = \emptyset \iff \bigcap_{k=1}^m z(\phi(f_k)) = \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* Note first that  $\phi(1)$  is nonvanishing on  $Y$ . Define  $\psi(f) := \phi(f)/\phi(1)^{-1}$ . It is easy to see that  $\psi$  is an injective linear map from  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  into  $C(Y)$ , and  $z(\psi(f)) = z(\phi(f))$  for all  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}(X)$ .

**Claim.**  $\psi$  sends non-negative real functions to non-negative real functions.

Let  $f \geq 0$  be in  $\mathcal{A}(X)$ , that is,  $f(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x$  in  $X$ , and let  $\lambda$  be a non-positive scalar in  $\mathbb{K} \setminus [0, +\infty)$ . As  $f - \lambda$  is nonvanishing on  $X$ , we can see that  $\phi(f) - \lambda\phi(1)$  is nonvanishing on  $Y$ . Therefore,  $\psi(f) - \lambda$  is also nonvanishing on  $Y$ . Since  $\lambda$  is an arbitrary non-positive real number, we see that  $\psi(f)$  assumes values from  $[0, +\infty)$ .

Inherited from  $\phi$ , the new map  $\psi$  is also biseparating. It follows that  $\psi(|f|) = |\psi(f)|$  for all  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}(X)$ . Now, suppose that  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  with

$$\emptyset = \bigcap_{i=1}^m z(f_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m z(|f_i|) = z\left(\sum_{i=1}^m |f_i|\right).$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{k=1}^m z(\phi(f_k)) &= \bigcap_{k=1}^m z(\psi(f_k)) = \bigcap_{k=1}^m z(|\psi(f_k)|) \\ &= \bigcap_{k=1}^m z(\psi(|f_k|)) = z\left(\sum_{k=1}^m \psi(|f_k|)\right) \\ &= z\left(\psi\left(\sum_{k=1}^m |f_k|\right)\right) = z\left(\phi\left(\sum_{k=1}^m |f_k|\right)\right) = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

The proof for the other direction is similar.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.**  *$\phi$  preserves zero-set containments, i.e.,*

$$z(f) \subseteq z(g) \iff z(\phi(f)) \subseteq z(\phi(g)), \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{A}(X).$$

*Proof.* Assume  $z(f) \subseteq z(g)$ . Let  $y$  in  $Y$  be such that  $\phi(g)(y) \neq 0$ . As in the proof of Lemma 2.3, we can find a function  $k$  in  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  such that

$$z(\phi(g) + \phi(k)) = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(k)(y) = 0.$$

By the assumption,

$$z(f) \cap z(k) \subseteq z(g) \cap z(k) \subseteq z(g + k) = \emptyset.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$z(\phi(f)) \cap z(\phi(k)) = \emptyset.$$

In particular,  $\phi(f)(y) \neq 0$ , as asserted. The other direction is similar.  $\square$

For any  $x_0$  in  $X$ , let

$$\mathcal{K}_{x_0} = \{f \in \mathcal{A}(X) : f(x_0) = 0\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{Z}_{x_0} = Z(\phi(\mathcal{K}_{x_0})) = \{z(\phi f) : f \in \mathcal{K}_{x_0}\}.$$

**Lemma 2.6.**  $\mathcal{Z}_{x_0}$  is a prime  $z$ -filter on  $Y$  with the countable intersection property.

*Proof.* We first note that by the fullness of  $\mathcal{A}(Y) = \phi(\mathcal{A}(X))$ , every zero set  $A$  in  $Z(Y)$  can be written as  $A = z(\phi(f))$  for some  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}(X)$ .

Because  $\phi$  is nonvanishing preserving, the empty set is not in  $\mathcal{Z}_{x_0}$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}_{x_0}$  and  $C = z(\phi(g)) \in Z(Y)$  such that  $z(\phi(f)) \subseteq C$ . Then  $z(f) \subseteq z(g)$  since  $\phi$  preserves zero-set containments by Lemma 2.5, and hence  $g \in \mathcal{K}_{x_0}$ . This means that  $C \in \mathcal{Z}_{x_0}$ . Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of functions in  $\mathcal{K}_{x_0}$ . Set  $g_n = \min\{1, |f_n|\}$  in  $\mathcal{A}^b(X)$ . Clearly,  $z(g_n) = z(f_n)$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  is nice, we can find a strictly positive sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  such that the pointwise limit  $g_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n g_n$  is in  $\mathcal{A}(X)$ . Obviously,

$$x_0 \in z(g_0) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} z(g_n) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} z(f_n).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$\emptyset \neq z(\phi g_0) \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} z(\phi(f_n)).$$

This establishes that  $\mathcal{Z}_{x_0}$  is a  $z$ -filter with the countable intersection property.

Finally, we check the primeness of the  $z$ -filter  $\mathcal{Z}_{x_0}$ . Let  $f, g$  in  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  be such that  $z(\phi f) \cup z(\phi g) = Y$ . Then  $z(f) \cup z(g) = X$  since  $\phi$  is biseparating by Lemma 2.3. As a result,  $x_0$  must be in  $z(f)$  or  $z(g)$ . This means that  $f$  or  $g$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}_{x_0}$ , and thus proves  $\mathcal{Z}_{x_0}$  is prime.  $\square$

Since  $Y$  is realcompact, by Lemma 2.6 we see that the intersection of  $\mathcal{Z}_{x_0}$  is a singleton, and denote it by  $\{\sigma(x_0)\}$ . In other words,

$$f(x_0) = 0 \quad \implies \quad \phi(f)(\sigma(x_0)) = 0, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A}(X).$$

**Lemma 2.7.** *For any  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}(X)$ , we have*

$$(2.2) \quad (\phi f)(\sigma(x)) = (\phi 1)(\sigma(x))f(x), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

*Proof.* For any  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  and  $x$  in  $X$ , the function  $f - f(x)$  is in  $\mathcal{K}_x$ . It follows

$$\phi(f - f(x))(\sigma(x)) = 0,$$

and thus  $(\phi f)(\sigma(x)) = \phi(1)(\sigma(x)) \cdot f(x)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* Firstly, we shall see that  $\sigma : X \rightarrow Y$  is one-to-one. Suppose that  $x \neq x' \in X$  and  $\sigma(x) = \sigma(x')$ . Choose a function  $f$  from  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  such that  $f(x) = 0$  and  $f(x') \neq 0$ . By (2.2), we have the following contradiction. Note that  $\phi 1$  is non-vanishing.

$$(\phi f)(\sigma(x)) = (\phi 1)(\sigma(x))f(x) = 0$$

and

$$(\phi f)(\sigma(x')) = (\phi 1)(\sigma(x'))f(x') \neq 0.$$

Secondly, we claim that  $\sigma(X)$  is dense in  $Y$ . Indeed, if there exists a  $y$  in  $Y \setminus \overline{\sigma(X)}$ , then we can choose a function  $f_1$  from  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  such that  $(\phi f_1)(y) = 1$  and  $\phi(f_1) \equiv 0$  on  $\sigma(X)$  by the fullness of  $\mathcal{A}(Y) = \phi(\mathcal{A}(X))$ . For any  $x$  in  $X$ , we have

$$(\phi f_1)(\sigma(x)) = (\phi 1)(\sigma(x))f_1(x) = 0.$$

This forces  $f_1 = 0$ . In turn,  $(\phi f_1)(y) = 0$ , which is impossible.

Thirdly,  $\sigma$  induces a homeomorphism from  $X$  onto  $\sigma(X)$ . Suppose on the contrary that a net  $\{x_\lambda\}$  converges to  $x_0$  in  $X$  but  $\{\sigma(x_\lambda)\}$  does not converge to  $\sigma(x_0)$  in  $Y$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that all  $\sigma(x_\lambda)$  lie outside an open neighborhood of  $\sigma(x_0)$ . Find a function  $g$  in  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  such that  $(\phi g)(\sigma(x_\lambda)) = 0$  for all  $\lambda$  and  $(\phi g)(\sigma(x_0)) \neq 0$ . Since

$$0 = (\phi g)(\sigma(x_\lambda)) = (\phi 1)(\sigma(x_\lambda))g(x_\lambda)$$

and  $\phi 1$  is nonvanishing,  $g(x_\lambda) = 0$  for all  $\lambda$  and hence  $g(x_0) = 0$ . This forces

$$(\phi g)(\sigma(x_0)) = (\phi 1)(\sigma(x_0))g(x_0) = 0.$$

This is a contradiction. Similarly, we can prove that  $\sigma^{-1}$  is continuous from  $\sigma(X)$  into  $X$ . Setting  $Y_1 = \sigma(X)$  and  $\tau = \sigma^{-1} : \sigma(X) \rightarrow X$ , we get the desired assertion (2.1).

Now we verify that  $Y_1$  contains all  $G_\delta$  points in  $Y$ . Suppose  $y$  in  $Y \setminus Y_1$  is a  $G_\delta$  point. It follows from the fullness of  $\mathcal{A}(Y) = \phi(\mathcal{A}(X))$  that there is an  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  such that  $z(\phi(f)) = \{y\}$ . In particular,  $\phi(f)$  is nonvanishing on  $Y_1$ . Then, the representation (2.2) ensures that  $z(f) = \emptyset$ . This contradicts to the non-vanishing preserving property of  $\phi$ . Hence,  $y \in Y_1$ . In the case  $Y$  consists of  $G_\delta$  points,  $Y = Y_1$ .

Lastly, we show that  $\sigma : X \rightarrow Y$  is surjective when  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  is full and  $\mathcal{A}(Y)$  is nice. In this case, we have  $Z(\mathcal{A}(X)) = Z(X)$ . For any  $y_0$  in  $Y$ , set

$$\mathcal{Z}_{y_0} = \{z(f) : (\phi f)(y_0) = 0\}.$$

Arguing as in Lemma 2.6, we see that  $\mathcal{Z}_{y_0}$  is also a prime  $z$ -filter on  $X$  with the countable intersection property. Since  $X$  is realcompact,  $\bigcap \mathcal{Z}_{y_0}$  is a singleton and denoted it by  $\{x_0\}$ . It is then easy to see that  $\sigma(x_0) = y_0$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.8.* (1) If  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  is a uniformly closed unital subalgebra of  $C^b(X)$ , then  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  is a nice sublattice. See, e.g., [9, Lemma 16.2].

(2) When  $\mathcal{A}(X) \subseteq C(X)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(Y) \subseteq C(Y)$  are endowed with the compact-open topology, or  $\mathcal{A}(X) \subseteq C^b(X)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(Y) \subseteq C^b(Y)$  endowed with the uniform topology,  $\phi$  is automatically continuous. A proof for these facts make use of the weighted composition representation (2.1) and is left to the readers.

Note that every continuous map  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  between completely regular spaces can be lifted uniquely to a continuous map  $\psi^v : vX \rightarrow vY$  between their realcompactifications. In particular, every  $f$  in  $C(X)$  can be lifted uniquely to an  $f^v$  in  $C(vX)$  with the same range  $f^v(vX) = f(X)$  (see, e.g., [9, Theorem 8.7 and 8B]). Consequently,  $f$  is nonvanishing if and only if  $f^v$  is nonvanishing.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Suppose that  $X, Y$  are completely regular spaces with realcompactifications  $vX, vY$ , respectively. Let  $\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{A}(Y)$  be nice and full vector sublattices of  $C(X), C(Y)$  containing constant functions, respectively. Assume that  $\phi : \mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(Y)$  is a bijective linear nonvanishing preserver. Then, there exists a homeomorphism  $\tau^v : vY \rightarrow vX$  such that*

$$(\phi f)^v(y) = (\phi 1)^v(y) f^v(\tau^v(y)), \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A}(X), y \in vY.$$

*In case both  $X$  and  $Y$  consist of  $G_\delta$ -points,  $\tau^v$  restricts to a homeomorphism  $\tau : Y \rightarrow X$  such that*

$$\phi(f)(y) = \phi(1)(y) f(\tau(y)), \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A}(X), y \in Y.$$

*Proof.* Denote by  $\mathcal{A}(vX)$  the nice and full vector sublattice of  $C(vX)$  consisting of the unique extensions  $f^v : vX \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  of all  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}(X)$ . Since  $\phi : \mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(Y)$  is nonvanishing preserving,  $\phi^v : \mathcal{A}(vX) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(vY)$  defined by  $\phi^v(f^v) = (\phi f)^v$  is also nonvanishing preserving. By Theorem 2.2, there is a homeomorphism  $\tau^v : vY \rightarrow vX$  such that

$$(\phi^v f^v)(y) = (\phi^v 1^v)(y) f^v(\tau^v(y)), \quad \forall f^v \in \mathcal{A}(vX), y \in vY.$$

Finally, since  $vX \setminus X$  and  $vY \setminus Y$  contain no  $G_\delta$ -points (see, e.g., [9, p. 132]),  $\tau^v(Y) = X$  when both  $X, Y$  consists of  $G_\delta$ -points.  $\square$

Recall that a metric space  $(X, d)$  is said to be *quasi-convex* if there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any points  $x, y$  in  $X$  there is a continuous curve joining  $x$  to

$y$  in  $X$  with length not greater than  $Cd(x, y)$  (see [8]). The following corollary demonstrates the applicability of our main results. We do not claim the full originality, and some content can be seen in other papers, e.g., [3] for Part (c) in the case  $X, Y$  are complete metric spaces.

**Corollary 2.10.** Suppose  $\phi$  is a bijective linear nonvanishing preserver between the following function spaces. Then there is a homeomorphism  $\tau : Y \rightarrow X$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \phi(f)(y) = \phi(1)(y)f(\tau(y)), \quad \forall y \in Y.$$

- (a)  $\phi : C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$  or  $\phi : C^b(X) \rightarrow C^b(Y)$ , where  $X, Y$  are both realcompact spaces, or are both completely regular spaces such that all points of  $X, Y$  are  $G_\delta$ -points.
- (b)  $\phi : UC(X) \rightarrow UC(Y)$  or  $\phi : UC^b(X) \rightarrow UC^b(Y)$ , where  $UC(X), UC(Y)$  consist of uniformly continuous functions on the metric spaces  $X, Y$ , respectively. In this case,  $\tau$  is a uniform homeomorphism from  $Y$  onto  $X$ .
- (c)  $\phi : \text{Lip}(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}(Y)$  or  $\phi : \text{Lip}^b(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}^b(Y)$ , where  $\text{Lip}(X), \text{Lip}(Y)$  consist of Lipschitz continuous functions on the metric spaces  $X, Y$ , respectively. In the case  $\phi : \text{Lip}(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}(Y)$ ,  $\tau$  is a Lipschitz homeomorphism from  $Y$  onto  $X$ . We get the same conclusion in the other case, provided that  $X, Y$  are quasi-convex.

*Proof.* Note that all function spaces here are full and nice, and closed in the lattice operations. So Theorems 2.2 and 2.9 apply.

For (b), it follows from (2.3) that  $\phi(1)(y)\phi^{-1}(1)(\tau(y)) = 1$  for all  $y$  in  $Y$ . Define a linear map  $\psi(f) = \phi(\phi^{-1}(1)f) = f \circ \tau$  from  $UC^b(X)$  into  $UC(Y)$ . Using the arguments in [16, Theorem 2.3], we can show that  $\tau$  is uniformly continuous. Similarly,  $\tau^{-1}$  is also uniformly continuous.

In a similar manner, the assertion (c) follows from [8, Theorems 3.9 and 3.12].  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. J. Araujo, *Separating maps and linear isometries between some spaces of continuous functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **226**(1) (1998), 23–39.
2. J. Araujo, *Realcompactness and Banach-Stone theorems*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, **10** (2003), 247–258.
3. J. Araujo and L. Dubarbie, *Biseparating maps between Lipschitz function spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **357**(1) (2009), 191–200.
4. B. Aupetit, *A primer on spectral theory*, Springer, New York, 1991.
5. B. Aupetit, *Spectrum-preserving linear mappings between Banach algebras or Jordan-Banach algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **62** (2000) 917–924.
6. L. Dubarbie, *Maps preserving common zeros between subspaces of vector-valued continuous functions*, Positivity **14** (2010), 695–703.
7. M. I. Garrido and J. A. Jaramillo, *A Banach-Stone theorem for uniformly continuous functions*, Monatsh. Math., **131**(2000), 189–192.

8. M. I. Garrido, J.A. Jaramillo, *Homomorphisms on function lattices*, *Monatsh. Math.*, **141** (2004) 127–146.
9. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.
10. A. M. Gleason, *A characterization of maximal ideals*, *J. Analyse Math.*, **19** (1967), 171–172.
11. K. Jarosz, *Automatic continuity of separating linear isomorphisms*, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **33** (1990), 139–144.
12. J.-S. Jeang and N.-C. Wong, *Weighted composition operators of  $C_0(X)$ 's*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **201** (1996), 981–993.
13. J. P. Kahane and W. Zelazko, *A characterization of maximal ideals in commutative Banach algebras*, *Studia Math.*, **29** (1968), 339–343.
14. I. Kaplansky, *Lattices of continuous functions*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **53** (1947), 617–623.
15. I. Kaplansky, *Lattices of continuous functions II*, *Amer. J. Math.*, **70** (1948), 626–634.
16. M. Lacruz and J. G. Llavona, *Composition operators between algebras of uniformly continuous functions*, *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, **69** (1997), 52–56.
17. D. H. Leung and W. K. Tang, *Banach-Stone theorems for maps preserving common zeros*, *Positivity*, **14**(2010), 17–42.
18. M. D. Weir, *Hewitt-Nachbin spaces*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, No. 17, *Notas de Matemática*, No. 57, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-Oxford; American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1975.

(Lei Li) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN, 300071, CHINA

*E-mail address:* leilee@nankai.edu.cn

(Ngai-Ching Wong) DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, KAOHSIUNG 80424, TAIWAN

*E-mail address:* wong@math.nsysu.edu.tw